

**GUIDELINES FOR THE CONTENT OF A
DRAFT PUBLIC ENVIRONMENT REPORT**

Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

**Direct Sunshine Coast Rail Line, Stage 1 (Beerwah to
Caloundra) and Stage 2 (Caloundra to Birtinya),
Queensland**

Department of Transport and Main Roads

Date: 21 January 2025

EPBC 2024/09972

Contents

PREAMBLE	5
1. GENERAL ADVICE ON GUIDELINES	6
1.1. General Content	6
1.2. Format and Style	8
2. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED IN THE DRAFT PER	9
2.1. Description of the Action	9
2.2. Project Details	9
2.3. Feasible Alternatives	10
2.4. Description of the Environment	11
MATTERS OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE	12
3. Listed threatened species and communities (Section 18 and 18A)	12
3.1. Description	14
3.2. Desktop Analysis	14
3.3. Survey Effort and Outcomes	14
3.4. Survey Timings	15
3.5. Precautionary Principle	15
3.6. Habitat Assessment	16
3.7. Impact Assessment	19
3.7.1. Avoidance, Management and Mitigation Measures	22
3.7.2. Rehabilitation requirements	25
3.7.3. Residual Significant Impact	26
3.7.4. Environmental Offsets	26
3.7.5. Statutory Requirements	26
4. Ramsar Wetland	27
4.1. Description	27
4.2. Survey Effort and Outcomes	28
4.3. Impact Assessment	28
4.4. Surface Water	29
4.5. Groundwater	30
4.6. Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures	32
4.7. Residual Significant Impact	34

4.8. Statutory Requirements	34
5. Migratory Species	35
5.1. Description	35
5.2. Desktop Analysis.....	36
5.3. Survey Effort and Outcomes	36
5.4. Habitat Assessment	37
5.5. Impact Assessment.....	37
5.6. Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures	38
5.7. Residual Significant Impact	38
5.8. Environmental Offsets.....	38
5.9. Statutory Requirements.....	38
6. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	38
7. PROPOSED OFFSETS	39
7.1. Habitat Quality Assessment	39
7.2. Offset Proposal Requirements	41
7.3. Offset Management Plan (OMP) Requirement	43
8. ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES	46
9. OTHER APPROVALS AND CONDITIONS	47
10. CONSULTATION	48
10.1 Indigenous Consultation.....	48
11. ENVIRONMENTAL RECORD OF PERSON(S) PROPOSING TO TAKE THE ACTION	49
12. ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL MATTERS	49
13. PROMOTING ECOLOGICALLY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	49
14. INFORMATION SOURCES PROVIDED IN THE PER	50
15. CONCLUSION	50
Attachment 1 - The Objects and Principles of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation ACT 1999 Sections 3 and 3A	51
3 Objects of the Act	51
3A Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development	51
Attachment 2 - Matters that must be addressed in a PER and EIS (Schedule 4 of the EPBC Regulations 2000)	52
1 General information	52
2 Description.....	52
3 Relevant impacts	53
4 Proposed safeguards and mitigation measures	53

5	Other Approvals and Conditions.....	54
6	Environmental record of person proposing to take the action	54

PREAMBLE

The Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads (TMR), the proponent, proposes to undertake clearing of vegetation, earthworks, piling, tunnelling, waterway realignment and other major rail infrastructure works for the construction and operation in two stages of a 26.7 kilometre (km) dual track electric passenger rail line between Beerwah and Birtinya, Queensland. Associated ancillary infrastructure includes:

- Upgrades to Beerwah Station
- Construction of four railway stations at: Nirimba, Caloundra, Aroona and Birtinya, and a bus interchange at Caloundra Station
- Two stabling yards: south of Beerwah Station and north of Birtinya Station
- Straightening and track integration works of the existing North Coast Rail Line through Beerwah, and realignment of a section
- A grade separated rail over road crossing from the North Coast Rail Line over Steve Irwin Way
- Rail line through Beerwah State Forest and a rail bridge crossing of the Bruce Highway
- Approximately 5.8 km of railway on elevated structure west of Pelican Waters and Golden Beach
- Construction and operation of 7 km dual track rail line on a bridge structure out of Caloundra Station north to Birtinya on a combination of tunnel, viaduct and embankment structures
- Construction of an approximately 2.2 km long tunnel, including 980 m of mined tunnel with rail safety, and mechanical and electrical systems
- Rail systems, power substations and traction power feeder stations, signalling and safety infrastructure
- Fencing
- Active transport corridors, walking/cycling paths, bridges and embankments
- Access roads.

The action was referred under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (the EPBC Act) to the Minister for the Environment and a valid referral was received on 23 September 2024.

The delegate for the Minister determined on 21 October 2024 that approval is required as the action has the potential to have a significant impact on the following matters of national environmental significance (MNES) that are protected under Part 3 of the EPBC Act:

- Listed threatened species and communities (Section 18 and 18A)
- The ecological character of a declared Ramsar wetland (Sections 16 and 17B)

- Listed migratory species (Sections 20 and 20A)

Following the provision of preliminary information, the delegate of the Minister determined on 21 October 2024, that the proposed action be assessed by Public Environment Report (PER).

Information about the action and its relevant impacts, as outlined below, is to be provided in the PER. This information should be sufficient to allow the Minister to make an informed decision on whether or not to approve, under Part 9 of the EPBC Act, the taking of the action for the purposes of each controlling provision.

1. GENERAL ADVICE ON GUIDELINES

1.1. General Content

The PER must be written so that any conclusions reached can be independently assessed and must:

- a. Contain sufficient information to allow the Minister (or delegate) to make an informed decision on whether to approve, under Part 9 of the EPBC Act, the taking of the action for the purposes of each controlling provision.
- b. Contain sufficient information to enable interested stakeholders to understand the environmental consequences of the proposed development on matters of national environmental significance (MNES).
- c. Ensure all work and conclusions:
 - i. are presented clearly, unambiguously, succinctly and objectively
 - ii. are evidence based, and the evidence is provided
 - iii. are supported by peer reviewed literature, with references provided, or expert opinion
 - iv. use scientifically robust methodologies appropriate to the purpose, including a justification of why the methodology/s was selected
 - v. details of the methodology described in a manner that allows an independent suitably qualified practitioners to apply the method and state any limitations of the chosen approach
 - vi. are supported by maps, plans, diagrams, baseline surveys or other descriptive detail
 - vii. maps must clearly identify development footprints, buffer zones, and any conservation areas where impacts will be avoided, and areas of adjacent habitat that would be subject to indirect impacts, including areas that are to be retained within and adjacent to the site
 - viii. use active language and state clear commitments (e.g., 'must' and 'will') where appropriate, particularly in describing avoidance, mitigation and management actions and outcomes
 - ix. demonstrate the use of the most current and up to date statutory documents at the time of the publication of the PER including Approved Listing Advice(s), Conservation Advice(s), Recovery Plan(s), Threat Abatement Plan(s) or comparable policy guidelines,

approved survey methods and contemporary advice

- x. demonstrate the use of the most current and up to date at the time of the publication of the PER; policy guidelines, scientific methods and evidence, information, studies, data and species-relevant survey methods
 - xi. appropriately reference all sources using the Harvard standard. The reference list must include the address of any internet pages used as data sources and the date/s they were assessed.
- d. Relevant documents include, but are not limited to, the resources found in the [Species Profile and Threats Database](#) (SPRAT database) and [EPBC Act publications and resources](#). Section 139 of EPBC Act provides “the Minister must not act inconsistently with... a recovery plan or threat abatement plan” and “the Minister must, in deciding whether to so approve the taking of the action, have regard to any approved conservation advice for the species or community.” To this extent, the PER should consider and have regard to all relevant most recently approved recovery plans, approved conservation advice and threat abatement plans, including those published after the time of the referral decision. This includes updates to definitions such as “habitat critical to the survival of the species”.
- e. The PER should take into consideration the EPBC Act Significant Impact Guidelines that can be downloaded from the following web site: <http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/guidelines-policies.html>.
- f. Please ensure that any additional supporting documentation and studies, reports or literature not normally available to the public from which information has been extracted be made available at appropriate locations during the period of public display of the PER (Any confidentiality concerns should be discussed with the department prior to the period of public display).
- g. Be able to read as a stand-alone document and must include summaries of all relevant information referenced or provided in appendices. Complex or detailed technical information, studies or investigations necessary to support the main text should be attached to the main document as appendices.
- h. The level of analysis and detail in the PER should reflect the level of significance of the expected impacts on the environment. Any and all unknown variables or assumptions made in the assessment must be clearly stated and discussed. The extent to which the limitations, if any, of available information may influence the conclusions of the environmental assessment should be discussed.

- i. Please note that the proponent is required to make the draft PER available for a period of public comment. Specific instructions regarding publication requirements will be provided as part of the Minister's direction to publish. If it is necessary to make use of material that is considered to be of a confidential nature, the proponent should consult with the department on the preferred presentation of that material, before submitting it to the Minister for approval for publication.
- j. The proponent should ensure that the PER assesses compliance of the action with principles of Ecological Sustainable Development as set out in the EPBC Act, and the objects of the Act at Attachment 1. A copy of Schedule 4 of the EPBC Regulations - *Matters to be addressed by draft public environment report and environmental impact statement* is at Attachment 2.

1.2. Format and Style

- a. The PER should comprise three elements, namely:
 - i. the executive summary
 - ii. the main text of the document
 - iii. appendices containing detailed technical information and other information that can be made publicly available.
- b. The guidelines have been set out in a manner that may be adopted as the format for the PER. This format need not be followed where the required information can be more effectively presented in an alternative way. However, each of the elements must be addressed to meet the requirements of the EPBC Act and Regulations.
- c. Include a reference table indicating where to find information and links within the document to relevant sections.
- d. Where relevant information was provided in the referral, please incorporate this information as necessary in the PER. The PER should be written so that any conclusions reached can be independently assessed. To this end, all sources must be appropriately referenced using the Harvard standard. The reference list should include the address of any Internet "web" pages used as data sources.
- e. The main text of the PER should include a list of abbreviations, a glossary of terms and appendices containing:
 - i. a copy of these guidelines
 - ii. a list of persons and agencies consulted during the PER process
 - iii. contact details for the proponent
 - iv. the names of the persons involved in preparing the PER and work done by each of these persons.
- f. Maps, diagrams and other illustrative material should be included in the PER. The PER should be produced on A4 size paper capable of being photocopied, with maps and diagrams on A4 or A3

size and in colour where possible.

- g. The Proponent should consider the format and style of the document appropriate for publication on the Internet. The capacity of the website to store data and display the material may have some bearing on how the document is constructed.

2. INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED IN THE DRAFT PER

The content below has been determined in accordance with the requirements under schedule 4 of the EPBC Regulations – Matters to be assessed by draft public environment report and environment impact statement (see [Attachment 2](#)).

Some of the information required below may have been provided as part of the EPBC referral. However, the PER is a standalone document and must address the requirements of the EPBC regulations. Specific content requirements have been included under each section.

In order to adequately assess the nature, severity and extent of likely impacts, and the adequacy of any proposed avoidance, mitigation and/or compensatory (offset) measures, relevant to the matters listed in the preamble, the following information is required.

2.1. Description of the Action

The PER must provide the background and context of the action including (but not limited to):

- a. the title of the action
- b. the full name and postal address of the designated Proponent
- c. a clear outline of the objective of the action
- d. the location of the action
- e. the background to the development of the action
- f. how the action relates to any other actions (of which the Proponent should reasonably be aware) that have been, or are being, taken or that have been approved in the region affected by the action.
- g. the current status of the action; and
- h. the consequences of not proceeding with the action.

2.2. Project Details

This should provide the background and context of the action including the following:

- a. All phases of the proposed action including early works, pre-construction and construction, rail operation and ongoing maintenance should be described in detail. This is to include the precise location (including coordinates) of all works to be undertaken, structures to be built or elements of the action that may have impacts on MNES. The information must present all the key aspects including the rail line location, bridges, viaducts, embankments and tunnels, hardstand areas,

site compounds and stabling areas, laydown areas, access roads, active transport corridors and local walking/cycling path locations, traction power substations, overhead line equipment and signalling and security infrastructure, noise barriers, fauna movement culverts/ infrastructure and fencing locations, landscaping, drainage and water treatment areas. The various elements of the proposed action must be illustrated with maps, diagrams and plans (at a suitable scale) as applicable and also be provided in digital format (e.g. shapefile *.kml or *.kmz).

- b. A description of the anticipated start and completion dates of all actions such as the extent, staging and timing of clearing undertaken over the pre-construction and construction period.
- c. The description of the action must also include details on how the works are to be undertaken (including stages of development and their timing) and design parameters for those aspects of the structures or elements of the action that may have relevant impacts, including any temporary works or disturbances.
- d. The location, extent, and size (in hectares) of the total proposed action footprint, disturbance/impact footprint, and of any adjoining areas (beyond the impact area) that may be subject to indirect or facilitated impacts, including (but not limited to) edge effects, noise, light spill, vehicle access, changes to surface and groundwater quality from sedimentation, acid sulphate soil disturbance, litter, runoff, hazardous substance spills, and other associated activities.
- e. A description, with supporting spatial information, detailing any shared infrastructure with adjacent projects/areas to be constructed to facilitate the proposed action.
- f. A description of the intended land uses proposed as part of the completed development, including of any proposed open space and/or conservation areas and associated ongoing activities, and details of the intended party that would be responsible for future management activities.
- g. Details of any local or State Government planning scheme, or plan or policy under any local or State Government planning system that applies or is likely to apply to the proposed action. Details should include (but are not limited to):
 - i. what environmental assessment of the proposed action has been, or is being, carried out under the scheme, plan or policy
 - ii. application/approval numbers for existing applications where relevant
 - iii. obtained approvals or additional approvals that are required.
- h. A description of any changes to the project, or referral area that may have occurred since the original referral. Please note these changes may require a formal variation request.

2.3. Feasible Alternatives

- a. Any feasible alternatives to the action to the extent reasonably practicable, including:
 - i. if relevant, the alternative of taking no action

- ii. a comparative description of the impacts of each alternative on the MNES protected by controlling provisions of Part 3 of the EPBC Act for the action
 - iii. sufficient detail to make clear why any alternative is preferred to another
 - iv. discussion of the short, medium and long-term advantages and disadvantages of the options should be discussed.
- b. For the selected alternative, this section should also consider whether the proposed action will facilitate further growth and indirectly cause increased pressure on MNES.
- c. Wherever possible, mapping and figures should be included to describe any alternative measures/routes.

2.4. Description of the Environment

The PER must provide a description of the environment affected by and surrounding the referral area (i.e. for both Stage 1 and Stage 2 of the proposed rail alignment), over both the short and long term, including:

- a. Details of previous and current land use within and surrounding the referral area.
- b. Hydrology (surface and groundwater), including flood extents, relevant hydrogeology and local water quality.
- c. A description of any potential listed threatened species or ecological community, migratory species or wetlands of international importance that occur in the referral area and adjacent areas.
- d. Soil and geological characteristics, physical, chemical and biological characterisation of any soils that will be disturbed as a result of the action. Include site investigations conducted to date.
- e. A recent preliminary site investigation (PSI), and if considered necessary a detailed site investigation (DSI), undertaken in accordance with the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999 (2013) (ASC NEPM), by an appropriately qualified and experienced environmental consultant consistent with as described in Schedule B9 of the ASC NEPM. The PSI should be sufficient to:
 - i. identify potential sources of contamination and potential contaminants of concern
 - ii. identify areas of potential contamination
 - iii. identify potential human and ecological receptors
 - iv. identify potentially affected media (soil, sediment, groundwater, surface water, indoor and ambient air).
- f. A DSI is required when the results of the PSI indicate that contamination is present or is likely to be present and the information available is insufficient to enable site management strategies to be devised. The DSI should identify the nature of the contamination and delineate its lateral and vertical extent to a sufficient degree that an appropriate level of risk assessment may be undertaken and, if necessary, provide the basis for the development of an appropriate

remediation or management strategy. The assessment must consider relevant guidelines such as:

- i. Assessment of acid sulphate soils should be undertaken in accordance with the ASC NEPM, and guidance provided by Water Quality Australia (accessed via <https://www.waterquality.gov.au/issues/acid-sulfate-soils>)
 - ii. Assessment of water quality should be undertaken in accordance with the National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS, accessed via <https://www.waterquality.gov.au/>).
- g. Assessment of vegetation, not only limited to MNES (including raw data sheets, and species lists in attachments).
- h. Identification of conservation and special use areas and any outstanding natural features.

Please ensure that a recent Protected Matters Search Tool report is generated and used during the assessment before finalising the draft public environment report to identify any additional species (listed at the time of the controlled action decision) that may be affected by the action.

MATTERS OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

This section must provide the quantification of the extent of the MNES present both within and surrounding the referral area, details of the resources used to identify and assess the below MNES, and whether consultation was undertaken and/or advice sought from local community groups or experts. The description of MNES should focus on the following controlling provisions:

- a. Listed threatened species and communities (Section 18 and 18A)
- b. Wetlands of international importance (Section 16 and Section 17B), namely the Moreton Bay Ramsar Site (MBRS)
- c. Listed migratory species (Section 20 and 20A).

Output from the protected matters search tool must also be included as an appendix. This can be accessed at the following website: <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/epbc/protected-matters-search-tool>.

3. Listed threatened species and communities (Section 18 and 18A)

This section must address all listed threatened species and ecological communities below, and any other threatened species or ecological community listed under the EPBC Act at the time of the controlled action decision that may be impacted by the proposed action. Any listing events (e.g., the listing or up-listing of a species) that occur after the controlled action decision do not affect the assessment and approval process.

- a. This section must address impacts on threatened species and ecological communities, including (but not limited to) impacts on the following:

- Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Wales and Southeast Queensland - Endangered
- Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland ecological community – Endangered
- Subtropical eucalypt floodplain forest and woodland of the New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioregions – Endangered
- Koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory – Endangered
- Grey-headed Flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*) – Vulnerable
- Glossy black-cockatoo (south-eastern) (*Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami*) – Vulnerable
- Eastern Curlew (*Numenius madagascariensis*) – Critically Endangered
- Curlew Sandpiper (*Calidris ferruginea*) – Critically Endangered
- Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (*Calidris acuminata*) – Vulnerable
- Common Greenshank (*Tringa nebularia*) – Endangered
- Latham's Snipe (*Gallinago hardwickii*) – Vulnerable
- White-throated Needletail (*Hirundapus caudacutus*) – Vulnerable
- Giant Barred Frog (*Mixophyes iteratus*) – Vulnerable
- Wallum Sedgefrog (*Litoria olongburensis*) – Vulnerable
- Water Mouse (*Xeromys myoides*) – Vulnerable
- Honey Blue Eye (*Pseudomugil mellis*) – Endangered
- Oxleyan Pygmy Perch (*Nannoperca oxleyana*) – Endangered
- Sand Yabby (*Cherax robustus*) – Vulnerable
- Whipstick Wattle (*Acacia attenuata*) – Vulnerable
- Emu Mountain Sheoak (*Allocasuarina emuina*) – Endangered
- Swamp Stringybark (*Eucalyptus conglomerata*) – Endangered
- Macadamia Nut (*Macadamia integrifolia*) – Vulnerable
- Rough-shelled Bush Nut (*Macadamia tetraphylla*) – Vulnerable
- Native Guava (*Rhodomyrtus psidioides*) - Critically Endangered
- Scrub Turpentine (*Rhodamnia rubescens*) – Critically Endangered
- Banished Stink Bush (*Zieria exsul*) – Critically Endangered

Note:

The above list may not be a complete list of listed threatened species and ecological communities that will be or are likely to be impacted by the action. It is the proponent's responsibility to ensure that any species and ecological communities listed as threatened at the time of the controlled action decision, which will or are likely to be impacted by the action are assessed.

Some of the listed threatened species requiring assessment are also listed as migratory under the EPBC Act. These species should be considered in accordance with their status as a threatened species. Assessment of these species does not need to be duplicated in the migratory species section.

This section must include the following:

3.1. Description

- a. Describe each listed threatened species and ecological community, noted above (including EPBC Act listing status, abundance, condition, distribution, ecology and habitat preferences of the species or communities, etc).

3.2. Desktop Analysis

- a. Describe the desktop assessment methodology used to inform the field surveys in and within the vicinity of the referral area.
- b. This section must provide context to the referral area by discussing known historical records of listed threatened species and ecological communities within the referral area and in the broader region. All known records must be supported by an appropriate source (i.e., Commonwealth and State databases, Queensland Government's WildNet, Atlas of Living Australia, published research, publicly available survey reports etc.), and where possible, include the year of the record and a description of the habitat in which the record was identified.

3.3. Survey Effort and Outcomes

- a. Provide details of the scope, methodology, timing and effort of field surveys (undertaken by qualified species experts with demonstrated experience in detecting the above species and ecological communities) in and within the vicinity of the referral area. Provide details of:
 - i. how surveys were undertaken in accordance with relevant Commonwealth and State guidelines or best practice survey guidelines at the time of the surveys.
 - ii. if relevant, the justification for divergence from relevant Commonwealth and State guidelines or best practice survey guidelines at the time of the surveys.
 - iii. state the total number of records (individuals and evidence of presence) of listed threatened species and ecological communities in and within the vicinity of the referral area. Provide maps identifying verified sightings of MNES during studies or surveys.

- b. This section should include an assessment of the adequacy of any surveys undertaken (including survey effort and timing). In particular, the extent to which these surveys were appropriate for the species and undertaken in accordance with the department's relevant survey and policy guidelines available at [On-ground surveys and data for referred actions under the EPBC Act](#) and [SPRAT](#).
- c. This section must provide context to the referral area by discussing known historical records of listed threatened species and ecological communities within the referral area and in the broader region.
- d. When providing survey details, please provide up to date baseline survey data in and within the vicinity of the referral area, and if relevant, the proposed offset site(s) including:
 - i. information on the survey methodology or technique used (e.g., BioCondition and MHQA assessments, thermal detection, camera trapping, tree hollow searches, SAT surveys etc)
 - ii. when surveys were conducted (e.g., dates, time of day, season, etc.) and survey effort (e.g., two hours for every one hectare within a 5-hectare area). Provide this information summarised in the PER and detailed in raw data sheets in attachments
 - iii. map/s of survey points or transects and how the survey points or transects were selected. Include points of surveyed areas
 - iv. data sheets, complete flora and fauna species lists and imagery of assessment locations.

3.4. Survey Timings

- a. Please note that some surveys can take more than a year to complete to ensure they are undertaken in the correct season. The department generally cannot accept survey data that is more than 5 years old because:
 - i. populations of species can change due to fires, drought, flooding and land management changes
 - ii. some species' ranges can shift due to climate change or other external factors.
- b. If data is older than four years at the commencement of project planning, the department recommends further up to date surveys are undertaken. For information on survey methodologies approved by the Australian Government, please refer to <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/epbc/advice/surveys-and-data>.

3.5. Precautionary Principle

- a. Failing to survey appropriately for MNES present at a site could result in the department applying the precautionary principle with regard to residual significant impact determinations. That is, if no supporting evidence (such as survey results) is presented to support the claim of MNES absence, then the department may assume that the MNES is in fact present. The department will not accept claimed MNES absence without effective validation such as through the application of survey guidelines, other survey techniques (for example, a state guideline or

an accepted industry guideline), or statements from relevant subject matter experts. Where a claim of absence is made, proposals must provide robust evaluation of MNES absence.

3.6. Habitat Assessment

- a. The PER must provide a robust assessment of the potential habitat available in and within the vicinity of the referral area for listed threatened species and ecological communities. Habitat assessments must be derived from information obtained from:
 - i. field surveys and vegetation assessments
 - ii. the Species Profile and Threats (SPRAT) Database
 - iii. relevant departmental documents (e.g., approved conservation advices, recovery plans, listing advices, referral guidelines, etc)
 - iv. published research and other relevant sources (where relevant)
 - v. The SPRAT Database can be accessed from the following website:
<http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/sprat.pl>.

The habitat assessments must consider the information in the SPRAT Database and relevant departmental documents. Where habitat assessments depart from departmental information, adequate justification must be provided to substantiate its suitability to the assessment.

Note:

Where potential habitat for listed threatened species and communities is identified in the referral area, an assessment must be undertaken regardless of whether or not the species was recorded. As such, the potential for occurrence of listed threatened species and communities must also be considered and assessed.

- b. At a minimum, the habitat assessment for each listed threatened species and ecological community must:
 - i. identify any specific habitat requirement/s (e.g. breeding, foraging, dispersal, known important habitat, suitable habitats, roosting, etc)
 - ii. describe known or potential habitat within the referral area and surrounding areas
 - iii. provide an assessment of the quality and importance of known or potential habitat for the species or communities within the referral area and surrounding areas
 - iv. discuss existing threats with reference to threats posed by the proposed action (where existing threats may compromise quality or viability of potential habitat, discussion should be substantiated by evidence (i.e. academic literature, case studies))
 - v. consider the regional context, describing the connectivity of habitat in the broader landscape, providing maps wherever possible
 - vi. provide the total amount of each type of habitat (in hectares) in the referral area. Show this where relevant on each map provided.

Note:

The department does not accept the consideration of only Queensland Regional Ecosystem (RE) mapping to determine habitat for protected matters. Further, habitat assessments must not only consider remnant vegetation, but also non-remnant and regrowth vegetation where relevant to the protected matter, with reference to the relevant departmental statutory documents.

- c. The total amount of each type of habitat must also be presented on a map for each listed threatened species and ecological community. Each map must:
 - i. include an appropriate base map that provides the geographical context of the referral area in the surrounding environment (i.e. aerial imagery)
 - ii. be specific to the habitat assessment undertaken for each listed threatened species and ecological community
 - iii. include an overlay of the disturbance footprint within the referral area
 - iv. include known records of listed threatened species or ecological communities derived from desktop analysis and/or field surveys, including clear polygons or point data
 - v. present a legend listing mapped features sized in hectares
 - vi. be of a suitable scale to allow interpretation and representation of mapped features.

3.6.1. Listed threatened species and communities habitat assessment – specific considerations

The PER must include relevant information listed in Section 3.6 in addition to the following information listed in Table 1 for specific listed threatened species and communities. The following matters have been identified from the referral information as requiring further specific information in addition to the information required under Section 3.6, however, are not the only protected matters that must be addressed. Further, for these protected matters, further information required is not limited to the following comments.

Table 1: Listed threatened species and ecological communities habitat assessment	
Additional information required	
Koala (<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>) (combined populations of Qld, NSW, and the ACT) – Endangered	
1.1	<p>Habitat descriptions should consider habitat use requirements (e.g., foraging, survival, growth, reproduction, and movement) across the referral area and align with the SPRAT database and relevant DCCEEW documents (and subsequent revisions) including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Identifying habitat for the endangered Koala 2022 ii. the Draft Guide to nationally protected species significantly impacted by paddock tree removal

	<p>iii. the Conservation Advice for Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory 2022</p> <p>iv. A review of koala habitat assessment criteria and methods, and</p> <p>v. the National Recovery Plan for the Koala Phascolarctos cinereus (combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory) 2022.</p> <p>Include a discussion of the vegetation composition and structure of the referral area and an assessment of Koala habitat quality that includes maps and provides geographical context of the referral area in the surrounding environment.</p>
1.2	<p>As per the Koala Conservation Advice, the department considers that habitat critical to the survival of the Koala comprises any habitat with characteristics required to meet the species' needs. This includes foraging, breeding and dispersal habitat, patches and corridors for gene flow, climate refugia and any habitat which might be currently unoccupied due to serial changes to habitat quality and is available for future recolonisation. The department also notes that critical habitat for the Koala is not limited to large, contiguous patches and includes paddock trees (and the safe intervening matrix for traveling between trees) and any trees that are not food trees but are commonly used by the species for shelter or predator avoidance.</p> <p>Include a discussion of habitat critical to the survival of the Koala present across the entire referral area, including habitat located both east and west of the Bruce Highway that meets the definition of habitat critical as per the statutory documentation, including the Conservation Advice for Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory 2022, and the National Recovery Plan for the Koala Phascolarctos cinereus (combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory) 2022.</p>
South-eastern Glossy Black-cockatoo (<i>Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami</i>) – Vulnerable	
1.3	<p>A discussion on potential breeding and foraging habitat within the referral area consistent with Conservation Advice for <i>Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami</i> 2022. Include information on the potential breeding tree species. Breeding habitat for the species is not well understood. Glossy black cockatoo (south-eastern) breeding habitat includes living and dead trees containing hollows with the following traits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • >8 m above ground • Located in branches >30 cm in diameter • Branch or stem no more than 45° from vertical • Minimum entrance diameter of >15 cm.
1.4	Identification and description of permanent or seasonal water bodies or water courses. Include

	a description of these in proximity to the species' habitat.
1.5	As the species may fly between habitat patches, provide a discussion of potential connecting habitat between potential foraging and breeding habitat to inform impacts, including those from collision/entanglement with overhead line equipment and habitat loss.
Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Wales and Southeast Queensland - Endangered Coastal Swamp Oak (<i>Casuarina glauca</i>) Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland - Endangered Subtropical eucalypt floodplain forest and woodland of New South Wales North Coast and South East Queensland bioregions - Endangered	
1.6	A ground truthed assessment (in a cross-reference table) of vegetation composition against the key diagnostic characteristics and condition thresholds for the TEC's listed above including consideration of remnant as well as regrowth areas. Include names of flora species present with regard to the relevant Conservation Advice for each TEC.
1.7	Provide information on the patch sizes, evidence of recruitment, species richness and coverage, and percentage of weeds present in the TEC patches in the referral area to inform the quality of the TEC. Where a patch extends beyond the referral area, provide additional discussion, where possible, in relation to the extent of the patch within the referral area and outside/adjoining the referral area that constitutes the continuation of the TEC.
1.8	Identify buffer zones as stated in Conservation Advices for each TEC.
1.9	Include a description of any vegetation surrounding patches of the TEC which may play a role in the function and integrity of the TEC, particularly surrounding vegetation between patches of TEC which may be relatively close in proximity.
2.0	Provide information on hydrological regimes specific to the above TEC's with regard to the relevant Conservation Advice(s) for each TEC listed above to enable understanding of how existing flora and fauna are likely to respond to potential changes/ disturbances.

3.7. Impact Assessment

The PER must include an up-to-date assessment of potential impacts that may occur as a result of the proposed action. Wherever possible, this assessment must be substantiated by evidence (i.e. academic literature, case studies).

Consideration of impacts must not be confined to the immediate referral area but must also consider the potential of the proposed action to result in impacts in the vicinity of the referral area that are likely to contain populations and/or habitat for MNES.

Describe and assess the impacts (direct and indirect) to listed threatened species and communities giving consideration to information provided in the SPRAT Database and relevant departmental policies and guidelines, including the [Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1](#).

- a. Include a clear description and maps of the total extent and quality of the following:
 - i. total extent of habitat present for each relevant protected matter within the referral area
 - ii. direct and indirect impact areas (including the total extent of habitat for each relevant protected matter to be impacted)
 - iii. total areas proposed to be retained/avoided (including the total extent of habitat present for each relevant protected matter to be avoided).
- b. Provide an assessment of the indirect, facilitated, and cumulative impacts that may occur as a result of the proposed action at a site specific and regional scale. The assessment should include consideration of:
 - i. the nature, likelihood, significance, and extent of impacts and whether any relevant impacts are likely to be unknown, unpredictable or irreversible
 - ii. timing and whether the impact is temporary or permanent
 - iii. species specific habitat requirements such as hollow bearing trees, nest trees, refuge habitat, foraging and breeding habitat, sheltering or other microhabitat features relevant to the species within and surrounding the development footprint (if applicable)
 - iv. whether connectivity and movement opportunities in the surrounding area may be retained, removed or functionally lost or compromised
 - v. adjacent areas of habitat that may or will be subject to intensification of ongoing impacts (for example, through increased human and vehicle presence)
 - vi. indirect or facilitated impacts that may result from the proposed action.
- c. Cumulative impacts, where potential project impacts from the proposed action on MNES are in addition to existing impacts of other activities (including current or future developments by the proponent and other proponents in the region and vicinity). The PER should also address cumulative impact of the proposal on ecosystem resilience. The cumulative effects of climate change impacts on the environment must also be considered in the assessment of ecosystem resilience.
- d. Include details of any current policy guidelines, relevant studies, surveys, or consultations with species experts/field specialists, which were not included in the referral or additional information provided in support of the referral.
- e. A habitat connectivity analysis detailing, for each listed matter:

- i. the existing conditions within the landscape context of the referral area, prior to any works being undertaken
 - ii. proposed habitat connectivity during defined stages of the proposed action, such as pre-construction, habitat and land clearance and modification, construction, rehabilitation and operation
 - iii. a figure, with aerial imagery and linework showing habitat connectivity for each matter for the referral area and surrounding landscape.
- f. Wherever possible, this assessment must be substantiated by evidence (i.e. academic literature, case studies).
- g. Where relevant to the potential impact, a risk assessment should be conducted and documented.
- h. Consider impacts to listed threatened species and ecological communities and their habitats from (but not limited to):
 - i. altered hydrology, including volume, timing, duration and frequency of ground and surface water flows (including flood flows) during the construction and operational phase, which may affect the physical structure and vegetation composition of habitat in the vicinity of the referral area
 - ii. waterway realignment or diversion including consideration of scale, timing, duration, sedimentation, erosion, as well as aquatic and terrestrial movement pathways
 - iii. water quality impacts from erosion and sediment run-off associated with vegetation clearing and earthworks as well as fertiliser, nutrients, litter, pesticides, disturbed ASS, PFAS and other contaminants of concern in runoff during the construction and rail operation phase which may impact species habitat in the vicinity of the referral area. See Section 4.3(b) for indicative list of parameters (as a minimum) to be considered in water quality assessment
 - iv. changes to groundwater discharge points and/or interruptions of discharge to systems such as base flow creeks and groundwater dependent ecosystems from tunnelling processes and tunnel infrastructure during pre-construction, construction and operation
 - v. edge effects including the potential for the introduction of weed species, pathogens and altered fire regimes in and within the vicinity of the referral area which may impact matters and degrade the habitat condition
 - vi. reduced or impacted TEC buffer zones
 - vii. potential increased presence of vertebrate predators and herbivores (e.g., cats, dogs, foxes, deer, pigs) and the impacts to listed threatened species and communities
 - viii. habitat loss and fragmentation by clearing or modification by infrastructure development

- ix. potential increased risk of vehicle strike to fauna species in the pre-construction, construction, and operational phase of the project
- x. potential to generate dust emissions (and impact on vegetation/TEC's and species habitat at and adjacent to the referral area) from the removal of vegetation and movement of soil in the pre-construction and construction phase of the project
- xi. species disturbance from increased noise and vibration during pre-construction, construction and operation of the proposed action. This must include an assessment of short and long term impacts, including background noise levels and take into account seasonal variations. The magnitude, duration and frequency of any vibration must be discussed. The potential for avoidance/abandonment of species habitat as a result of noise impacts must be discussed
- xii. increased lighting associated with pre-construction, construction and operation of the action on relevant MNES (in particular to threatened and migratory birds). This assessment must provide details of the lighting used during all stages (including from any night operations/maintenance and increased vehicle traffic). The assessment of lighting impacts must have regard to the department's [National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife 2023](#). The potential for avoidance/abandonment of species habitat as a result of lighting impacts must be discussed
- xiii. in-situ, introduced, and remobilised contaminants, including but not limited to contaminated soils, acid sulphate soils (ASS) / possible acid sulphate soils (PASS), and imported fill material
- xiv. an assessment of the risks and proposed management measures associated with hazardous chemicals and waste including any fuel to be transported, stored, and used during the pre-construction and construction phase of the action; and / or chemicals such as herbicides and pesticides to be used during the pre-construction, construction and operational phases of the action.

Note:

Please review the following policy statement, providing guidance on what impacts constitute an 'indirect consequences(s)', [under paragraph 527E\(1\)\(b\) of the EPBC Act](#).

Please include current maps and coordinates/shapefiles showing the total referral area, total disturbance/direct and indirect impact areas, areas of habitat for MNES proposed to be retained.

Include details of any policy guidelines, relevant studies, surveys, or consultations with species experts/field specialists, which were not included in the referral or additional information provided in support of the referral.

3.7.1. Avoidance, Management and Mitigation Measures

The mitigation hierarchy is a process that is used to limit the amount of damage an action, such as a development, will have on the environment. There are three steps, and each step must be followed in order and to the greatest extent possible before moving on to the next. These steps are:

1. **Avoid** harm to the environment within and surrounding the referral area.
2. **Reduce** or mitigate environmental damage within and surrounding the referral area.
3. Identify **offsets** within the region that compensate for the significant residual impacts to listed species or ecological communities.

This section should provide:

- a. Demonstration that mitigation hierarchy has been applied and all options exhausted to avoid and mitigate harm to protected matters, before resorting to environmental offsets.
- b. In doing this, demonstrate that any avoidance or mitigation measures will provide ecological benefits to the species in the long-term. For example, on-site avoidance/conservation areas must be connected or provide connectivity opportunities for species in the broader landscape and must include enduring mitigation of impacts from adjacent development. A detailed description of the proposed measures to avoid, mitigate and manage potential impacts on listed threatened species and ecological communities, including the timing, frequency, and duration of the measures to be implemented.
- c. A description of avoidance measures that have been considered and applied. For example, project site selection to avoid valuable habitat, micro-siting of infrastructure to avoid impacts on habitat on site, or avoidance of any activity that may indirectly impact on essential lifecycle processes for species.
- d. A description of proposed safeguards and mitigation measures to minimise and manage relevant impacts of the action, with reference to relevant statutory or policy documents at the Commonwealth and State level.
- e. Pre-clearance and clearance procedures (including translocation procedures) to ensure that species are detected and managed to minimise mortality, stress, injury, or introduction of disease.
- f. Ongoing management of direct and indirect impacts due to increased level of human presence and activity during construction and operation, and injury caused by negotiating various fence types and overhead line equipment .
- g. An assessment of areas where speed reduction can be implemented and where applicable details of how speed reduction is to be achieved in those areas and plans showing the locations of each of these features and the manner in which they will be implemented.
- h. Information on safe road design and placement, including installation of crossing warning signs, and/or wildlife threshold marking on roads (include maps and imagery).
- i. Details of management measures to be implemented during the construction and operational phases to limit potential water quality impacts, such as erosion and sediment control measures, chemical spill control measures, acid sulphate soil management and stormwater management.
- j. Identification of the cost of mitigation measures and party responsible for undertaking proposed mitigation and measures, if different to the proponent.

- k. Details of any proposed fauna movement solutions, fire breaks, no-go or buffer zones (including buffers between the construction footprint or remaining habitat in and within the vicinity of the referral area), and potential fencing, including:
 - i. location of any movement solutions, fire breaks, buffer zones, or fencing
 - ii. characteristics of the fauna movement solutions, fire breaks, buffer zones and fencing, (i.e., height, length, wildlife proof measures etc)
 - iii. location and design of proposed fauna crossing infrastructure as supported by scientific literature
 - iv. whether the proposed measures, such as fencing will provide a wildlife barrier to/from/within the referral area
 - v. details of any proposed buffer areas around remaining habitat as well as specific protected matters such as TEC's. Please note, any proposed buffers must be consistent with departmental documents where information is available (including, but not limited to, approved Conservation Advices, Recovery Plans, SPRAT Database) and must have adequate justification provided to substantiate suitability for that individual protected matter with consideration of the location, scale and severity of indirect impacts to that protected matter.
- l. A description of the environmental outcomes the measures are expected to achieve including details of any baseline data or proposed monitoring to demonstrate progress towards achieving these outcomes.
- m. Information on the timing, frequency, and duration of the measures to be implemented.
- n. An assessment of the predicted effectiveness of each proposed avoidance or mitigation measure, noting that the effectiveness of a particular measure is a reflection of confidence in the anticipated outcome. The assessment of effectiveness should be evidence based and include examples of demonstrated success of a particular measure to achieve the desired avoidance/mitigation outcome.
- o. For each measure proposed, indicate the:
 - i. impacts that are being avoided and/or the significance of impacts being reduced through mitigation
 - ii. scientific basis for conclusions being drawn
 - iii. an evidence-based likelihood of success/risk assessment
 - iv. responsible party
 - v. milestones / performance / completion criteria
 - vi. proposed monitoring and evaluation program.

- p. Describe any statutory or policy basis for the proposed measures, including reference to the SPRAT Database and relevant approved conservation advice, recovery plan or threat abatement plan, and a discussion on how the proposed measures are not inconsistent with relevant plans. Please provide a discussion on how the proposed action is not inconsistent with relevant species' objectives or alternatively, how the proposed avoidance, mitigation/management and offsetting actions will compensate for any residual significant impacts, thereby ensuring consistency with the objective for relevant EPBC Act species.
- q. A detailed outline of an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) (or plans) that sets out the framework for management, mitigation and monitoring of relevant impacts of the action, including any provisions for independent environmental auditing. The EMP must:
- i. address the project phases (pre-construction, construction and operation) separately
 - ii. state the environmental objectives, performance criteria, monitoring, reporting, corrective action, responsibility and timing for each environmental issue
 - iii. describe contingencies for events such as heavy or prolonged rainfall or bushfire incidence, unexpected finds protocol for encountering unexpected contamination, the importation of inappropriate fill material, chemical spills, off-target impacts of chemical usage, inadequate management of ASS / PASS, or saltwater intrusion into ground water
 - iv. in the construction phase of the EMP, include (but not limited to) management measures such as dust suppression, sediment and erosion control, and enforcement of reduced construction zone vehicle speeds
 - v. incorporate weed and pest management actions, including monitoring for the construction and operational phases
 - vi. the [Environmental Management Plan Guidelines](#) provides general guidance to stakeholders preparing environmental management plans for environmental impact assessments and approvals under Chapter 4 of the EPBC Act.

Note:

The draft PER must include detailed measures and use language that clearly identifies whether the measures will be implemented (e.g. 'will be undertaken' rather than 'may', 'where possible', 'if required').

The proposed measures must be based on best available practices, appropriate standards and supported by scientific evidence (e.g. outcomes of successful field trials, research papers, other projects, etc.).

All proposed measures for MNES must be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and timebound (the 'S.M.A.R.T' principle).

3.7.2. Rehabilitation requirements

The PER should include the following information regarding any rehabilitation proposed during the construction and operational stages of the project:

- a. Details of any proposed rehabilitation, including location and timing. Please include maps showing the areas that will be rehabilitated within the referral area and the size in hectares of these areas.
- b. A summary of the vegetation community/habitat that is being rehabilitated and the dominant species that will be included in the rehabilitation site.
- c. Provide details of rehabilitation methods and how they meet best practice standards, including for the restoration of habitat for relevant protected matters and avoidance of sedimentation/erosion.
- d. The details of any rehabilitation activities proposed to be undertaken as required by the Commonwealth, State or Territory, and local government legislation.
- e. Information on the management of the rehabilitation site, including, but not limited to, weed and pest management.
- f. Rehabilitation acceptance criteria relevant to MNES and the procedures, including contingency measures, that will be undertaken to achieve them.
- g. Details of a monitoring program to determine the success of rehabilitation activities implemented by the proponent, including any contingency measures and when they would be triggered.
- h. Information on whether any post-construction rehabilitation sites will be subsequently cleared during the operational stage.

3.7.3. Residual Significant Impact

- a. After consideration of proposed avoidance, mitigation and management measures, provide an assessment of the likelihood of residual significant impacts on relevant listed threatened species and ecological communities.
- b. The PER must provide a clear and definitive conclusion of residual significant impacts on relevant listed threatened species and ecological communities to align with the [EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy \(2012\)](#).

3.7.4. Environmental Offsets

If it is determined that a residual significant impact is likely on listed threatened species and ecological communities, see Section 7 below.

3.7.5. Statutory Requirements

Provide a discussion that clarifies whether the action is consistent or inconsistent with relevant species recovery plans and threat abatement plans and Australia's obligations under the Biodiversity Convention, Apia Convention and CITES.

4. Ramsar Wetland

Moreton Bay Ramsar Site

The MBRS was listed under the Ramsar Convention in 1993 as a wetland of international importance. It is notable for its large size, diversity of wetland habitats, connectivity between wetland types, as well as diverse flora and fauna that includes threatened species and ecological communities and listed migratory species.

This section must address impacts on the Moreton Bay Ramsar Site and include the following:

4.1. Description

- a. A description of the ecological characteristics of the MBRS within, in the vicinity of and downstream of the referral area with reference to the draft Ecological Character Description (ECD), or final ECD (if available), Ramsar Information Sheet (RIS) ([Ramsar Information Sheet Australia Moreton Bay \(dcceew.gov.au\)](https://www.dcceew.gov.au/ Ramsar Information Sheet Australia Moreton Bay)) and its attached documents.

The description of the ecological character must also include (but not limited to):

- i. Each natural waterbody contributing to and within the MBRS that will or may be adversely impacted by the proposed action
- ii. Current status and condition of parts of the MBRS that will or may be impacted by the proposed action. Consider the past, existing and future threats at the scale of the referral area to features including (but not limited to), Bells Creek (South Branch) and Bells Creek (North Branch), and Pumicestone Passage. Consider impacts at the scale of the referral area in context to the status and condition (past, current and projected trends) of the Ramsar Site
- iii. Ramsar values (identified in the listing criteria in the Ramsar Information Sheet), critical components, processes and services of the MBRS (identified in the Draft Ecological Character Description (ECD) or final ECD if available). This includes:
 - extent and types of habitats in the referral area and in areas that may be impacted by the proposed action
 - listed threatened and migratory species numbers, distribution, habitats and site fidelity in areas that may be impacted by the proposed action
 - locations of potential and known feeding and roosting habitats for threatened and migratory shorebirds, the behavioural ecology which links these habitats and their usage in the potentially impacted area and in the regional context
 - types and levels of disturbances to threatened and migratory shorebirds and shorebird habitat and other species arising from current use of the site
 - current water quality of surface and groundwater at and adjacent to the site that contribute to MBRS. Surface water flows and ground water levels must be included

- types and prevalence of invasive plant and animal species in areas that adjoin or may contribute to the MBRS
- a description of the soils and sediments, including acid sulphate soils (ASS) and potential acid sulphate soils (PASS) within and adjacent to the referral area that may contribute to the MBRS
- details of any known or potential sources of contaminated land within or in the vicinity of the referral area. Describe the risk of the proposed action disturbing contaminated land or leading to land becoming contaminated and the potential consequences to the ecological character of the MBRS, listed threatened species and ecological communities and listed migratory species.

4.2. Survey Effort and Outcomes

- Provide details of the scope, methodology, timing and effort of field surveys (undertaken by qualified experts with demonstrated experience in detecting species and ecological communities which depend on the MBRS for all or part of their lifecycles) within, in the vicinity of, and upstream and downstream of the referral area. Provide details of:
 - how surveys were undertaken in accordance with relevant Commonwealth and State guidelines or best practice survey guidelines at the time of the surveys
 - the justification for divergence from relevant Commonwealth and State guidelines or best practice survey guidelines at the time of the surveys (if relevant)
 - the total number of records (individuals and evidence of presence) for those species that contribute to the ecological character of the Ramsar site as well as listed threatened species, migratory species, and ecological communities in and within the vicinity, (upstream and downstream) of the referral area.

4.3. Impact Assessment

Giving consideration to relevant departmental policies and guidelines, including the *Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1: Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (2013)* (which can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/publications/significant-impact-guidelines-11-matters-national-environmental-significance>), the PER must:

- Describe and assess direct, indirect, facilitated and cumulative impacts as a result of the proposed action and associated impacts on the MBRS, and discuss whether the proposed action will degrade, damage, or destroy the ecological character of the Ramsar Wetland.
- Assess and discuss water resources and water quality impacts from the proposed action. Include an assessment of potential alterations to water quality and potential hydrological changes that could impact the MBRS arising as a result of the action in line with the requirements outlined in Section 4.4 and Section 4.5.
- For species that contribute to the ecological character of the Ramsar site with habitat or lifecycle phases dependent upon the MBRS, the assessment of impacts should consider the requirements

outlined in Section 3.7 for threatened species and ecological communities and Section 5.5 for migratory species.

- d. Assess the potential direct and indirect impacts to the ecological character of the MBRS (including to species dependant on the MBRS for all or part of their lifecycles) arising from light pollution, noise, and increased human disturbance. This should include:
 - i. details of the lighting to be used during all stages of the action. The assessment must be consistent with the [National Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife \(2023\)](#) and the assessment of impacts if habitat is abandoned as a result of disturbance
 - ii. details of increased noise disturbance during all stages (including operation) of the action and the assessment of impacts if habitat is abandoned as a result of disturbance
 - iii. details of human disturbance during all stages (including operation) of the action (including introduction of pests, litter and vehicle strike) that may disturb MNES or impact habitat and the assessment of impacts if habitat is abandoned as a result of disturbance
 - iv. an assessment of the impacts to breeding, roosting or foraging behaviours as a result of light pollution, noise and human disturbance to species that utilise the MBRS, and that may be present at or in the vicinity of the referral area.

4.4. Surface Water

This section must discuss surface water quality and flows including a monitoring program with reference to best practice guidelines for water quality objectives and include but not be limited to:

- a. Recent monitoring data provided from the referral area, other nearby monitoring sites, including from representative control sites further upstream and downstream from the construction areas. The baseline data set should be sufficient to provide an adequate representation of the existing water sources within and adjacent to the referral area. This should include spatial and temporal coverage including seasonal and interannual variation of the water resources and connections between groundwater, surface water, wetlands and estuarine systems. Data can also be drawn from reliable, independent, publicly available datasets. Results should be compared to relevant water quality guidelines including the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality or relevant State Water Quality Guidelines.
- b. An investigation of potential water quality changes including water quality modelling, with recent data, which considers water quality stressors that include but are not limited to: salinity, electrical conductivity, total suspended solids, pH, turbidity, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, chlorophyll, dissolved oxygen, and toxicants (such as, for example: faecal coliform bacteria, asbestos, hydrocarbons, heavy metals and metalloids, pesticides / herbicides, surfactants, PFAS and persistent organic pollutants).
- c. Identification of the monitoring locations for discharge points during construction and operation.
- d. Assessment of risks associated with increased erosion due to changes to the landscape including

monitoring of sediment loads pre (baseline), during and post construction.

- e. A stormwater runoff assessment including quantification of the volume and water quality of the discharge from the referral area at the point of entering natural surface waters, estimations of future runoff volumes (including climate change considerations) into the waterbodies (and the MBRS) and consideration of risks due to hazardous substance spills and litter.
- f. An assessment of in-situ, introduced, and remobilised contaminants, including but not limited to contaminated soils, acid sulphate soils (ASS) / potential acid sulphate soils (PASS), and imported fill material.
- g. An assessment of risks and proposed management measures associated with potential disturbance of ASS and PASS in the referral area and the implications on short- and long-term surface water quality in and within the vicinity of the referral area and the MBRS including potential impacts to species which rely on the Wetland for parts or all of their lifecycles.
- h. An assessment of potential changes to water availability including surface water flows to the MBRS and any waterways in and within the vicinity of the referral area.
- i. A flood model assessment that includes uncertainty/ sensitivity analysis and climate change considerations.
- j. A discussion on the likelihood, significance, and extent of impacts and whether any relevant impacts are likely to be unknown, unpredictable or irreversible.
- k. Development of a surface water model to assess potential impacts on surface water quality and hydrological changes associated with the action through both the construction and operational stages. The assumptions, calibration, validation and related uncertainty of any model predictions must be provided.
- l. An assessment of the potential impacts from hazardous chemicals and waste including any fuel to be transported, stored, and used during the pre-construction, construction and operational phase of the action; and/or chemicals such as herbicides and pesticides to be used during the pre-construction, construction and operational phases of the action.

4.5. Groundwater

The PER must include an assessment of the potential impacts to MNES associated with changes to local groundwater resources associated with the proposed action. The impact assessment must define the extent of the area within which groundwater resources are likely to be affected by the proposed action including from groundwater depletion or recharge, and potential to contaminate groundwater resources. The groundwater assessment must include but not be limited to:

- a. An assessment of risks and management measures associated with potential disturbance of ASS and PASS in the referral area and the implications on short- and long-term groundwater water quality in and within the vicinity of the referral area and the MBRS including potential impacts to species which rely on the wetland for parts or all of their lifecycles.
- b. Evidenced-based conceptualisation of groundwater systems that have potential to be impacted by the proposed development, including baseline groundwater-surface water interactions that

are critical to the MBRS and associated waterways and ecosystems, and post development conceptualisation, including conceptualisation of the potential for hydrogeological changes to occur as a result of changes to stormwater and wetland hydrology (surface water) regimes.

- c. A discussion of dewatering activities that may occur, details of dewatering requirements and techniques used – including information on the pre-drainage process, treatment and disposal of extracted groundwater.
- d. Assessment of the impacts of any dewatering activities including:
 - i. impact to local aquifers including potential changes on groundwater drawdown levels and quality
 - ii. impact to groundwater by oxidation of ASS, acidification and mobilisation of metals and any other contaminants and toxicants which may impact MNES and species dependant on the MBRS.
 - iii. Impact to groundwater dependent ecosystems, and subsequently on aquatic species that depend on these groundwater dependent ecosystems, identified within the project area.
- e. A discussion on the likelihood, significance, and extent of impacts and whether any relevant impacts are likely to be unknown, unpredictable or irreversible.
- f. If impacts to groundwater and/or groundwater-surface water interactions are likely, development of a fit-for-purpose groundwater model that is informed by the evidenced-based hydrogeological conceptualisation and is designed to simulate potential impacts on groundwater flows and groundwater-surface water interactions associated with the action through both the construction and operational stages. The assumptions, calibration, validation and related uncertainty of any model predictions must be provided. Groundwater modelling must include but not be limited to:
 - i. an assessment of potential changes to availability of groundwater including baseflow contributions to the MBRS and any waterways in and within the vicinity of the referral area
 - ii. an assessment of potential impacts to groundwater dependent ecosystems in and within the vicinity of the referral area
 - iii. short-term and long-term impact assessments and analysis of modelling during the pre-construction, construction and operational phases of the project including climate change considerations
 - iv. predictions of groundwater recovery and re-equilibration scenarios, including the influence of tidal effects and potential seawater intrusion on groundwater resources and on nearby groundwater dependent assets, including climate change considerations
 - v. fit-for-purpose sensitivity and uncertainty analysis.
- g. A discussion of measures to manage groundwater encountered during the construction process.

- h. Descriptions of any further data collection proposed to characterise groundwater chemistry and inform the installation of monitoring bores.
- i. Identification of any other groundwater extraction in the area and an assessment of the potential impacts of the proposed action on these users. Detail of cumulative impacts from the removal and lowering of groundwater (e.g. groundwater recharge, changes to baseflows and downstream impacts on the receiving environments).

4.6. Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures

Taking into account the mitigation hierarchy, this section should provide:

- a. Demonstration that any avoidance or mitigation measures will provide ecological benefits to the species that utilise the MBRS in the long-term. For example, on-site avoidance/conservation areas must be connected or provide connectivity opportunities for species in the broader landscape and must include enduring mitigation of impacts from adjacent development.
- b. A detailed description of the proposed measures to avoid, mitigate and manage potential impacts on listed species that utilise the MBRS, including the timing, frequency, and duration of the measures to be implemented.
- c. Details of measures that will be implemented to track the quality of fill material in accordance with the ASC NEPM, noting close proximity of the site to sensitive environmental receptors including the MBRS.
- d. Details of measures to be implemented during the construction and operational phases to ensure chemicals used for weed and pest control regimes will meet industry standards and will not lead to direct or indirect impacts in the referral area and surrounding sensitive environments such as the MBRS.
- e. Details of management measures to be implemented during the construction and operational phases to limit potential water quality impacts, such as: erosion and sediment control measures, chemical spill control measures, acid sulphate soil management and stormwater management.
- f. A description of avoidance measures that have been considered and applied. For example (and not limited to) proposed action site selection to avoid valuable habitat, micro-siting of infrastructure to avoid impacts on habitat in the referral area, or avoidance of any activity that may indirectly impact on essential lifecycle processes for species.
- g. A description of proposed safeguards and mitigation measures to minimise and manage relevant impacts of the action, with reference to relevant statutory or policy documents at the Commonwealth and State level.. Pre-clearance and clearance procedures to ensure that species are detected and managed to minimise mortality, stress, injury, or introduction of disease.
- h. Ongoing management of direct and indirect impacts including monitoring programs to support an adaptive management approach and determine the effectiveness of measures proposed. This must include adequate monitoring regimes and defined trigger levels that will prompt further management and/or remediation actions. Where the mitigation measure, relates to the

ecological character of the MBRS identify design and operational features to maintain and enhance that character where possible, where the proposed action (both construction and operation) may impact on those values.

- i. Identification of the cost of mitigation measures and party responsible for undertaking proposed mitigation and measures, if different to the proponent.
- j. The locations and size of any proposed fauna movement solutions, culverts, fire breaks, no-go or buffer zones (including buffers between the construction footprint or remaining habitat in and within the vicinity of the referral area), and potential fencing, including:
 - i. the location of any proposed movement solutions, culverts, fire breaks, buffer zones, or fencing
 - ii. the characteristics of the proposed fauna movement solutions, culverts, fire breaks, buffer zones and fencing, (i.e., height, length, wildlife proof measures etc)
 - iii. whether the proposed measures, such as fencing, will provide a wildlife barrier to/from/within the referral area.
- k. A description of the environmental outcomes the measures are expected to achieve including details of any baseline data or proposed monitoring to demonstrate progress towards achieving these outcomes.
- l. An assessment of the predicted effectiveness of each proposed avoidance or mitigation measure, noting that the effectiveness of a particular measure is a reflection of confidence in the anticipated outcome. The assessment of effectiveness should be evidence based and include examples of demonstrated success of a particular measure to achieve the desired avoidance/mitigation outcome.
- m. For each measure proposed, indicate the:
 - i. impacts that are being avoided and/or the significance of impacts being reduced through mitigation
 - ii. scientific basis for conclusions being drawn
 - iii. an evidence-based likelihood of success/risk assessment
 - iv. responsible party
 - v. milestones / performance / completion criteria
 - vi. proposed monitoring and evaluation program.
- n. Describe any statutory or policy basis for the proposed measures, including reference to the SPRAT Database and relevant approved conservation advice(s), recovery plan(s) or threat abatement plan(s), and a discussion on how the proposed measures are not inconsistent with relevant plans. Provide a discussion on how the proposed action is not inconsistent with relevant species' objectives or alternatively, how the proposed avoidance, mitigation/management and

any proposed offsetting actions will compensate for any residual significant impacts, thereby ensuring consistency with the objective for relevant EPBC Act species.

- o. Inclusion and consideration of Ramsar wetland specific information into the detailed outline of the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) required under Section 3.7.1q that sets out the framework for management, mitigation and monitoring of relevant impacts of the action, including any provisions for independent environmental auditing.

Note:

The draft PER must include detailed measures and use language that clearly identifies whether the measures will be implemented (e.g. 'will be undertaken' rather than 'may')

The proposed measures must be based on best available practices, appropriate standards and supported by scientific evidence (e.g. outcomes of successful field trials, research papers, other projects, etc.).

All proposed measures for MNES must be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and timebound (the 'S.M.A.R.T' principle).

4.7. Residual Significant Impact

Taking into account the proposed mitigation and management measures, provide an assessment of the residual significant impacts (see Section 7 for further information about offsets in the event of a residual significant impact) on the ecological character of the MBRS. The assessment must:

- a. consider the department's [Significant impact guidelines 1.1 \(2013\)](#).
- b. provide a clear and definitive conclusion about residual significant impacts, including the extent and nature of residual significant impacts on the ecological character of MBRS.
- c. demonstrate how, with detailed supporting justification, the integrity of the ecological character of the MBRS will be maintained during construction and operation.

4.8. Statutory Requirements

- a. All actions and mitigation measures relating to the Ramsar Wetlands must be consistent with the Ramsar Convention and the Australian Ramsar management principles, which are set out in Schedule 6 of the EPBC Regulations. The assessment must provide a discussion that clarifies whether the action is consistent or inconsistent with these principles, which include:
 - i. to describe and maintain the ecological character of the wetland
 - i. to formulate and implement planning that promotes conservation of the wetland and wise and sustainable use of the wetland
 - ii. wetland management that allows for public consultation on decisions and actions that may have significant impact on the wetland

- iii. wetland management should make special provision for the involvement of people who have a particular interest in the wetland and may be affected by the management of the wetland
 - iv. wetland management should provide for continuing community and technical input.
- b. For any referred action that was determined to be likely to have a significant impact on the ecological character of a Ramsar Wetland, the assessment should:
 - i. identify any part of the ecological character of the wetland that is likely to be affected by the action
 - ii. examine how the ecological character of the wetland might be affected by the action
 - iii. provide adequate opportunity for public consultation.

5. Migratory Species

This section must address all migratory species listed under the EPBC Act at the time of the controlled action decision that may be impacted by the proposed action. Any listing events (e.g. the listing or up-listing of a species) that occur after the controlled action decision do not affect the assessment and approval process.

Some of the listed migratory species requiring assessment are also listed as threatened species under the EPBC Act. These species should be considered in accordance with their status as a threatened species. Assessment of these species does not need to be duplicated in the migratory species section.

- a. This section must address impacts on the following species listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act, including (but not limited to):
 - Common Sandpiper (*Actitis hypoleucos*) – Migratory
 - Marsh Sandpiper (*Tringa stagnatilis*) – Migratory
 - Red-necked Stint (*Calidris ruficollis*) – Migratory

Note:

The above list may not be a complete list of migratory species that will be or are likely to be impacted by the action. It is the proponent's responsibility to ensure that any species listed as migratory at the time of the controlled action decision, which will be or are likely to be impacted by the action are assessed.

This section must include the following:

5.1. Description

- a. Describe each migratory species noted above (including EPBC Act, listing status, abundance, distribution, ecology and habitat preferences of the species or communities, etc).

5.2. Desktop Analysis

- a. Describe the desktop assessment methodology used to inform the field surveys in and within the vicinity of the referral area.
- b. This section must provide context to the referral area by discussing known historical records of migratory species within the referral area and in the broader region.

5.3. Survey Effort and Outcomes

- a. Provide details of the scope, methodology, timing and effort of field surveys (undertaken by qualified species experts with demonstrated experience in detecting the above migratory species) in and within the vicinity (upstream and downstream) of the referral area. Provide details of:
 - i. how surveys were undertaken in accordance with relevant Commonwealth and State guidelines or best practice survey guidelines at the time of the surveys
 - ii. if relevant, the justification for divergence from relevant Commonwealth and State guidelines or best practice survey guidelines at the time of the surveys
 - iii. state the total number of records (individuals and evidence of presence) of listed migratory species in and within the vicinity of (upstream and downstream) the referral area. Provide maps identifying verified sightings of MNES during studies or surveys.
- b. This section should include an assessment of the adequacy of any surveys undertaken (including survey effort and timing). In particular, the extent to which these surveys were appropriate for the species and undertaken in accordance with the department's relevant survey and policy guidelines (see [EPBC Act Policy Statement 3.21 - Industry guidelines for avoiding, assessing and mitigating impacts on EPBC Act listed migratory shorebird species - DCCEEW](#)).
- c. This section must provide context to the referral area by discussing known historical records of listed migratory species within the referral area and in the broader region.
- d. When providing survey details, please provide up to date baseline survey data for the referral area, and if relevant, the proposed offset site(s) site, including:
 - i. information on the survey methodology or technique used (e.g., thermal detection, camera trapping, tree hollow search, etc)
 - ii. when surveys were conducted (e.g., dates, time of day, season, etc.) and survey effort (e.g., two hours for every one hectare within a 5-hectare area)
 - iii. map/s of survey points or transects and how the survey points or transects were selected.
- e. Please also note the information supplied in Section 3.4 which discusses survey timings and Section 3.5 which reiterates the department's application of the precautionary principle.

5.4. Habitat Assessment

The PER must provide a robust assessment of the potential habitat available in and within the vicinity (upstream and downstream) of the referral area for listed migratory species. Habitat assessments must include all the provisions as outlined in Section 3.6 habitat assessment for listed threatened species and ecological communities, but with regard to specific habitat assessment for migratory species.

Note:

Where potential habitat for migratory species is identified in the referral area, an assessment must be undertaken regardless of whether or not the species was recorded. As such, the potential for occurrence of migratory species must also be considered and assessed.

5.5. Impact Assessment

The PER must include an up-to-date assessment of potential impacts that may occur as a result of the proposed action. Consideration of impacts must not be confined to the immediate area of the proposed action but must also consider the potential of the proposed action to result in impacts in the vicinity that are likely to contain habitat for migratory species.

Describe and assess the impacts (direct and indirect) to listed migratory species including the provisions as outlined in Section 3.7 impact assessment for listed threatened species and ecological communities, but with regard to specific impact assessment for migratory species.

Note:

Please review the following policy statement, providing guidance on impacts that constitute an 'indirect consequence(s)', [under paragraph 527E\(1\)\(b\) of the EPBC Act](#)

Please include:

- current maps and coordinates/shapefiles showing the total referral area, total disturbance/direct and indirect impact areas, areas of habitat for MNES proposed to be retained.
- details of any policy guidelines, relevant studies, surveys, or consultations with species experts/field specialists, which were not included in the referral or additional information provided in support of the referral.
- Details of measures that will be implemented to track the quality of fill material in accordance with the ASC NEPM, noting close proximity of the site to sensitive environmental receptors including the MBRS.
- Details of measures to be implemented during the construction and operational phases to ensure chemicals used for weed/ pest control regimes and rodent control will meet industry standards and will not lead to direct or indirect impacts in the referral area and surrounding sensitive environments such as the MBRS.

Relevant statutory documents and guidelines for migratory species can be obtained from the SPRAT profile for each species and the department's website e.g. EPBC Act Policy Statement [Industry](#)

[guidelines for avoiding, assessing and mitigating impacts on EPBC Act listed migratory shorebird species.](#)

5.6. Avoidance, Mitigation and Management Measures

This section must include all the provisions as outlined in Section 3.7.1 for threatened species and ecological communities, but with regard to specific avoidance, mitigation and management measures for listed migratory species.

Note:

The draft PER must include detailed measures and use language that clearly identifies whether the measures will be implemented (e.g. 'will be undertaken' rather than 'may', 'where possible', 'if required').

The proposed measures must be based on best available practices, appropriate standards and supported by scientific evidence (e.g. outcomes of successful field trials, research papers, other projects, etc.).

All proposed measures for MNES must be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and timebound (the 'S.M.A.R.T' principle).

5.7. Residual Significant Impact

- a. After consideration of proposed avoidance, mitigation and management measures, provide an assessment of the likelihood of residual significant impacts on relevant listed migratory species.
- b. The PER must provide a clear and definitive conclusion of residual significant impacts on relevant listed migratory species to align with the [EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy \(2012\)](#).

5.8. Environmental Offsets

See Section 7 below.

5.9. Statutory Requirements

Provide a discussion that clarifies whether the action is consistent or inconsistent with Australia's obligations under the Bonn Convention, CAMBA, JAMBA, ROKAMBA and any other international agreements approved under subsection 209(4) of the EPBC Act.

6. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

- a. The PER should identify and address cumulative impacts, where potential project impacts are in addition to existing impacts of other activities (including known potential future expansions or developments by the proponent and other proponents in the region and vicinity).
- b. The PER should also address the potential cumulative impact of the proposed action on ecosystem resilience. The cumulative effects of climate change impacts on the environment must also be considered in the assessment of water resources and ecosystem resilience.

- c. The PER should also provide a detailed assessment of any likely impact that this proposed action may facilitate on relevant MNES at the local, regional, state and national scale.

7. PROPOSED OFFSETS

INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS FOR EPBC ACT OFFSET PROPOSALS AND OFFSET MANAGEMENT PLANS

An EPBC Act offset proposal must demonstrate that the relevant species or ecological communities significantly impacted by the proposed action is/are not worse-off when compared to a situation where neither the impact nor the offset occurred.

- a. Where residual significant impacts remain after application of all reasonable avoidance and mitigation measures, a compensatory environmental offset in accordance with the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* Environmental Offsets Policy (EPBC Offsets Policy) is required.
- b. Offsets must be specific to the species or ecological community being impacted, must address the attribute of the protected matter that is impacted, and must deliver an outcome for the protected matter that is demonstrably equal or better than if neither the impact nor the offset occurred. Offsets are not intended to make proposed actions with unacceptable impacts, acceptable.
- c. If an offset is required, the PER must include an:
 - i. **Offset Proposal** – The Offset Proposal must provide detail about the proposed offset site(s) including baseline survey information, habitat or vegetation quality scores, how the offset will be managed, and evidence that the protected matter is present or uses the offset site(s). The Offset Proposal must demonstrate how the proposed offset is suitable and meets the principles of the [EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy](#) and must include sufficient information (in a table with supporting evidence) for the department to assess it using the EPBC [Offsets Assessment Guide](#)
 - ii. **Offset Management Plan (OMP)** – The OMP supports the Offset Proposal and must detail all the management activities to be undertaken at the offset site(s) including setting environmental objectives, milestones, monitoring and reporting measures. Management plans and activities must be targeted towards the specific plant, animal, ecological community, or place (protected matter) that is being offset. If there is more than one offset site, then a separate OMP must be prepared for each site.
- d. If the assessment shows that the proposed action is likely to have a significant residual impact on migratory shorebirds or their habitat or Ramsar wetlands, please discuss with the department before proceeding with an offset proposal.

7.1. Habitat Quality Assessment

- a. For each listed threatened species, threatened ecological community, or migratory species where there is a residual significant impact, a suitable methodology must be used to assess habitat quality (i.e., endorsed by the department or supported by literature), noting the same scoring mechanism must be used at both impact and offset sites.

- b. For each methodology, provide justification for how that methodology is suitable for each listed threatened species, threatened ecological community or migratory species. This includes proposed scoring attributes, attribute weightings and, if relevant, proxy measures.

Note:

The department encourages proponents to consult and seek endorsement from the department on a proposed method prior to undertaking any habitat quality assessment at both impact and offset site(s).

The department currently prefers habitat quality scoring methods for each prescribed matters to be consistent with the Modified Habitat Quality Assessment (MHQA) method. The MHQA tool derives habitat quality scores using an adaptation of the Queensland Government's ['Guide to determining terrestrial habitat quality version 1.2'](#) (DEHP Guide).

The MHQA aligns, as far as possible the DEHP Guide with the requirements of the EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy for determining habitat quality scores. In aligning with this policy, all habitat quality scoring methods are required to generate future scores for 'with' and without' offset scenarios. Forecast gains or loss in habitat quality score must be substantiated by scientific information and via the endorsed MHQA (or alternative) method.

To support the habitat quality assessment; a link to the DEHP Guide is provided above and the MHQA scoring guide, and MHQA scoring spreadsheet template (.xlsx) can be provided on request. When calculating offsets, please refer to the department's published guidance: [How to use the Offsets Assessment Guide](#).

- c. A precautionary approach to forecasting scores should be applied, with all limitations and uncertainties considered, documented and integrated within the 'Confidence in Result % (Quality)' value applied in the Offset Assessment Guide (offset calculator). Please also note the following:
 - i. If a habitat quality gain of more than 2 points is proposed, or an achieved future habitat quality score 'with offset' of 9 or 10, it becomes less certain that the conservation outcome can be achieved. The justification of effectiveness of the proposed management measures and associated habitat quality score improvements and (reflected in the confidence in result) must be supported by substantial evidence
 - ii. Higher habitat quality gains will generally be associated with lower 'confidence in result' scores in the Offset Assessments Guide to reflect the difficulty associated with achieving the conservation outcomes. In these cases, it is likely that outcomes-based commitments will be required in the associated management plan for the site(s), including specifying binding metrics to be met to demonstrate quality improvement. For further information, please contact the department to discuss the metrics that will be used to demonstrate achievement of quality standards

- d. If an alternative methodology is proposed for assessing and scoring habitat quality for any/all of the prescribed matters likely to experience significant residual impacts as a result of the proposed action, the alternative methodology must:
 - i. directly relate to habitat requirements of the species and factors associated with the viability of the prescribed matter, and align with information contained in the SPRAT database and relevant statutory/departmental documents
 - ii. be substantiated with appropriate field surveys in accordance with the relevant survey guidelines or using a scientifically robust and repeatable methodology
- e. Where there are any inconsistencies between the habitat assessment approach and information contained in the SPRAT database, the inconsistencies must be discussed with the department prior to the submission of the assessment documentation and must be supported by scientific evidence including published research, independent expert advice and information derived from field surveys.

7.2. Offset Proposal Requirements

- a. The offset proposal must include, but not be limited to, the following:
 - i. A detailed project description, including a site description and how and to what extent the proposed action will impact on protected matters
 - ii. Details of the protected matters being impacted by the proposed action, including the total number of individuals or extent of habitat being impacted.
- b. Details about the offset proposal/offset site, including:
 - i. A description of the proposed offset site(s) including location, size, and relevant ecological/species habitat features, landscape context and cadastre boundaries of the offset site(s) (supported by mapping)
 - ii. Information about how the proposed offset/s area will provide connectivity with other relevant habitats and biodiversity corridors
 - iii. Information how the proposed offset site/s contribute to relevant State and/or regional plan/s or initiatives for the conservation of the protected matter
 - iv. Evidence of the presence of, or usage by, relevant MNES on, or adjacent to the proposed offset site(s)
 - v. Evidence that the location of the offset site is suitable and provides a conservation benefit to the impacted protected matter. Note: The EPBC Offsets Policy states that in most cases, the offset site should be as close to the impact as possible
 - vi. Up to date surveys and baseline data confirming the current condition/quality of vegetation on the proposed offset site (including number of hollow bearing trees if relevant), the extent and presence of weeds, and the extent of threats

- vii. Information about the ecosystems present, current usage of the proposed offset site, its general condition and location in the landscape/region
 - viii. An assessment of how the offset and impacts sites are like-for-like, i.e., the environmental values for the MNES at the offset are of the same type or equivalent to that affected by the proposed action
 - ix. The methodology, with justification and supporting evidence, used to inform the inputs of the Offsets Assessment Guide in relation to the proposed offset site for each relevant MNES, including:
 - total area of habitat (in hectares)
 - habitat quality (as discussed in Section 7.1)
 - time over which loss is averted (max. 20 years)
 - time until ecological benefit
 - risk of loss (%) without offset
 - risk of loss (%) with offset
 - confidence in result (%)
 - details and execution timing of the mechanism to legally secure the environmental offset/s (under Queensland legislation or equivalent) to provide enduring protection for the potential offset area/s against development incompatible with conservation.
- a. The offset proposal must demonstrate how the offset meets the principles of the EPBC Offsets Policy and EPBC Offsets Assessment Guide to inform the Minister's decision on whether or not the project should be approved under the EPBC Act.
 - b. The offset proposal must engage specifically with the ecological requirements of the protected matter. For example, for a species like the Glossy-Black cockatoo, management measures such as weeding and fencing will not generally be accepted as suitable compensation for the loss of nesting hollows, which is a limiting habitat requirement for the species.
 - c. Where nesting resources are proposed to be impacted, offset proposals should demonstrate an increase in the quality and/or availability of nesting resources at an offset site, relative to the quantum of impact. Offset proposals based on creation of nesting resources (for example, artificial nesting boxes) must realistically address whether they will be used by the target MNES, whether they will attract predator or competitor species, and their durability, accompanied by suitable monitoring and performance measures. Deviations from these principles will need to be explained and justified.
 - d. Details of the actual or estimated cost of the offset proposal including costs associated with proposed mitigation and management measures onsite.
 - e. Details of the protective mechanism proposed to be applied at any offset site/s to provide enduring protection to the site for at least the duration of the impact, including a draft of the

protective mechanism and its terms.

7.3. Offset Management Plan (OMP) Requirement

- a. An offset management plan is a practical document that outlines what must be done to manage an offset site. A plan must detail all the management activities at the offset site and how progress will be monitored and reported. An OMP provides confidence that the outcomes described in an offset proposal can and will be achieved. The OMP must include, but may not be limited to:
 - i. A description of the proposed offset site(s) including location, size, condition, existing and future tenure, and relevant ecological/species values present and surrounding land uses
 - ii. Maps and shapefiles to clearly define the location and boundaries of the offset area/s, accompanied by the offset attributes (e.g., physical address of the offset area/s, coordinates of the boundary points in decimal degrees, the relevant MNES that the environmental offset/s compensates for, and the size of the environmental offset/s in hectares)
 - iii. Baseline survey information showing MNES presence and the extent and quality of the respective habitat(s) at the proposed offset site(s) in accordance with the relevant survey guidelines or using a scientifically robust and repeatable methodology
 - iv. Summarised details of the nature of the conservation gain to be achieved for relevant MNES, including the creation, restoration and revegetation of habitat in the proposed offset area/s
 - v. Information about how the proposed offset area/s will provide connectivity with other habitats and biodiversity corridors and/or will contribute to a larger strategic offset for the relevant MNES. This should include information about how the proposed offset/s area contributes to any state and/or regional plan/s for the conservation of the protected matter
 - vi. Mechanisms for protection, for at least the full duration of the impact, under a conservation covenant or otherwise accepted method, noting that protection mechanisms for permanent impacts should continue in perpetuity
 - i. Completion criteria and, if necessary, performance targets that evidence protection or improvement of EPBC Act listed communities, species and their habitat. For the purpose of the plan:
 - Completion criteria are longer term time-bound values, specified for measurable parameters, that if attained and maintained ensure the plan's environmental objectives are achieved; and for example: 'By Year 20, the approval holder must reduce non-native plant cover to within 5% of the benchmark value associated with

each Regional Ecosystem.'

- Performance targets are time-bound short and medium term targets, for management interventions and environmental condition, that are used to monitor, evaluate, review and improve the effectiveness of the plan to offset impacts. For example: 'By Year 10, the approval holder must reduce non-native plant cover to within 10% of the benchmark value associated with each Regional Ecosystem.'
- b. The OMP must include management measures that will protect or improve EPBC Act listed threatened ecological communities and/or species and their habitat. Each management measure must:
- i. be specifically linked to the attribute of the protected matter for which the management measure applies
 - ii. have timeframes for implementation
 - iii. be described sufficiently to avoid ambiguity and to inform plan implementation
 - iv. be related to attaining/maintaining completion criteria and/or performance targets
 - v. be derived from recognised principles, practice, or guidelines, and is justified – technically, scientifically and legally (e.g. by recommendation in a national recovery plan) – as an effective and appropriate measure to attain and/or maintain the plan's completion criteria and/or performance targets.
- c. Management activities must be targeted towards the needs of the protected matter that is offset and must align with the recovery objectives for the species as identified in relevant National Recovery Plans or Conservation Advices.
- d. The plan must identify and manage uncertainty. To this end the plan must specify:
- i. key data/information used to formulate the plan
 - ii. the limitations and/or uncertainty associated with the use of that data/information
 - iii. the risks that limitation and/or uncertainty represents for plan failure
 - iv. how limitations and/or uncertainty, and associated risks, are mitigated during plan implementation. For example, where a margin of safety is applied to management measures until uncertainty is reduced to an acceptable level or performance targets/completion criteria are attained/maintained.
- e. The plan must assess the risk of failure to achieve the plan's performance targets and/or completion criteria. To this end the plan must:
- i. state the plans' performance targets and/or completion criteria
 - ii. identify events or circumstances that prejudice attainment/maintenance of performance targets and/or completion criteria. The events or circumstances must

address scientific/ecological uncertainty, stochastic events and legal/land use planning factors that may represent risks

- iii. include a qualitative assessment of the likelihood and consequence of those events or circumstances, and the residual risk of failure to achieve those criteria due to identified events or circumstances (assuming management measures will be implemented)
 - iv. characterise risk as low, medium, high or severe, derived from likelihood (highly likely, likely, possible, unlikely, rare) and consequence (minor, moderate, high, major and critical)
 - v. outline how consequence, likelihood and risk level for each risk has been determined.
- f. The plan must manage the risk of failure to achieve performance targets and/or completion criteria by:
- i. specifying management measures that will be implemented to attain/maintain the completion criteria and/or performance targets
 - ii. enhancing monitoring and management measures for high risk events or circumstances, thereby providing a 'margin of safety' to detect, avoid or mitigate the likelihood and/or impacts of the event or circumstance
 - iii. specifying measurable events or circumstances (management triggers) that detect actual or potential issues in a timely manner to avoid, minimise or mitigate adverse impacts
 - iv. ensuring the monitoring program includes activities to detect management triggers, and explains how monitoring activities may inform the selection and implementation of corrective actions
 - v. specifying methods to be used to determine whether the management trigger is project attributable
 - vi. specifying effective and appropriate corrective actions that may be implemented if a management trigger is realised
 - vii. monitoring the effectiveness of corrective actions and implementing appropriate responses in the event corrective actions are not effective.
 - viii.
- g. The plan must describe the monitoring methods that will be implemented, and:
- i. demonstrate the relevance of the monitoring methods to the protection of the relevant aspect of the protected matter(s) for which the offset is implemented

- ii. include quantitative (e.g. on-ground survey results) and qualitative baseline data (e.g. photo-point monitoring sites) that establish the start quality/condition of the environment, and which can be used to measure performance against
- iii. describe the sampling strategy (including monitoring area, site selection and sampling intensity over space and time) and statistical analyses to be employed
- iv. justify the sampling strategy/monitoring methods, including through
 - v. an assessment of effectiveness and constraints to use
 - vi. the capacity to detect change in environmental condition due to management interventions
 - vii. the capacity to demonstrate attainment of performance targets and/or completion criteria
 - viii. the statistical power of the strategy/method
 - ix. a commitment to engage appropriately qualified experts to design and conduct monitoring and survey activities, and analyse monitoring results
 - x. accounting for seasonal/climatic variability
 - xi. the provision of details of the location, nature and number of monitoring sites, including benchmark/reference sites to evaluate management performance.
- h. The plan must include commitments to report on plan implementation and success as well as opportunities for improvement. This is achieved by:
 - i. identifying relevant reporting obligations under the EPBC approval, or otherwise proposing appropriate regular reporting intervals, objectives and methods (if the project is approved)
 - ii. specifying how plan/strategy implementation will be reported in accordance with those obligations
 - iii. including a reporting template specifying key risk management, management measures, monitoring and adaptive implementation outcomes for the reporting period
 - iv. including a schedule and triggers for reporting types (e.g. annual compliance, incident, non-compliance, contingency).

8. ENVIRONMENTAL OUTCOMES

- a. The PER should provide information on the outcomes that the proponent will achieve for MNES. Outcomes need to be specific, measurable, and achievable, and must be based on robust baseline data. To allow application of outcomes-based conditions, the PER should include:

- i. consideration of [the Outcomes-based conditions policy 2016](#) and [Outcomes-based conditions guidance 2016](#), with suitable justification for considerations identified in the policy and guidance
- ii. the specific environmental outcomes to be achieved, and reasoning for these in reference to relevant Recovery Plans, Conservation Advices and Threat Abatement Plans. Including, for each proposed outcome:
 - the risks associated with achieving the outcome
 - the measurability of the outcome, including all suitable performance measures
 - appropriate baseline data upon which the outcome has been defined and justified
 - the likely impacts that the proposed outcome will address
 - demonstrated willingness and capability of achieving the outcome
 - commitments to independent and periodic audits of performance towards achieving outcomes
 - details of proposed management to achieve the outcome including, but not limited to, performance indicators, periodic milestones, proposed monitoring and adaptive management, and record keeping, publication and reporting processes.

9. OTHER APPROVALS AND CONDITIONS

- a. The PER must include information on any other requirements for approval or conditions that apply, or that the proponent reasonably believes are likely to apply, to the proposed action. This must include details of any local or State Government planning scheme, or plan or policy under any local or State Government planning system that deals with the proposed action, including:
 - i. what environmental assessment of the proposed action has been, or is being, carried out under the scheme, plan or policy
 - ii. how the scheme provides for the prevention, minimisation and management of any relevant impacts
 - iii. a description of any approval that has been obtained from a State, Territory or Commonwealth agency or authority (other than an approval under the EPBC Act), including any conditions that apply to the action
 - iv. a statement identifying any additional approval that is required
 - v. a description of the monitoring, enforcement and review procedures that apply, or are proposed to apply, to the action

- vi. attachment of relevant Commonwealth, State or Territory, and local government approvals and permits as supporting documents to the PER.

10. CONSULTATION

- a. The PER must provide details of any consultation that has occurred concerning the action, including:
 - i. any consultation that has already taken place
 - ii. proposed consultation about relevant impacts of the action and plans for future consultation throughout the life of the proposed action
 - iii. if there has been consultation about the proposed action, any documented response to, or result of, the consultation and management measures to address community concerns
 - iv. identification of affected parties, including a statement mentioning any communities that may be affected and describing their views
 - v. signed documents or statements of consent from land holders or managers (including Registered Native Title Body Corporates).

10.1 Indigenous Consultation

- a. The PER must identify existing or potential native title rights and interests.
- b. The PER must identify any areas and objects that are of particular significance to Indigenous peoples and communities possibly impacted by the proposed action and the potential for managing those impacts.
- c. The PER must describe any Indigenous consultation that has been undertaken, or will be undertaken, in relation to the proposed action and their outcomes. This should include:
 - i. details regarding the specific Indigenous groups and Traditional Owners consulted and an indication of the areas, both tangible and intangible, of cultural significance across the referral area
 - ii. a discussion about how impacts to areas and/or objects of Indigenous cultural significance (tangible and intangible) are avoided, mitigated or minimised.
- d. Descriptions of any state requirements for approval or conditions that apply, or that the proponent reasonably believes are likely to apply, to the proposed action with regards to Indigenous peoples and communities.
- e. The department considers that best practice consultation, in accordance with the [Interim Engaging with First Nations People and Communities on Assessments and Approvals under the EPBC Act \(2023\)](#).

11. ENVIRONMENTAL RECORD OF PERSON(S) PROPOSING TO TAKE THE ACTION

- a. The information provided in the PER must include details of any proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against:
 - i. the person proposing to take the action
 - ii. for an action for which a person has applied for a permit, the person making the application
 - iii. if the person is a body corporate—the history of its executive officers in relation to environmental matters
 - iv. If the person proposing to take the action is a corporation, details of the corporation’s environmental policy and planning framework must also be included
 - v. if the person is a body corporate that is a subsidiary of another body or company (the parent body)—the history in relation to environmental matters of the parent body and its executive officers.

12. ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL MATTERS

- a. The economic and social impacts of the action, both positive and negative, must be analysed. Analysis must include:
 - i. projected economic costs and benefits of the project, including the basis for their estimation through cost/benefit analysis or similar studies. Where possible, please include the total economic capital investment and economic ongoing value of the project
 - ii. economic and employment opportunities expected to be generated by the project (including construction and operational phases)
 - iii. Identification, assessment and mitigation measures to reduce any potential impacts to humans from the pre-construction, construction and operation of the proposed action. This may include vehicle collision, noise, light and visual amenity impact.
- b. Economic and social impacts should be considered at the local, regional and national levels. Details of the relevant cost and benefits of alternative options to the proposed action, as identified in Section 2.3 should also be included.

13. PROMOTING ECOLOGICALLY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

- a. The PER must describe how the action will conform to the principles of ecologically sustainable development (ESD), which are as follows:
 - i. decision making processes should effectively integrate both long-term and short-term economic, environmental, social and equitable considerations

- ii. if there are threats of serious or irreversible environmental damage, lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to prevent environmental degradation
- iii. The principle of inter-generational equity – that the present generation should ensure that the health, diversity and productivity of the environment is maintained or enhanced for the benefit of future generations
- iv. The conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity should be a fundamental consideration in decision making.

14. INFORMATION SOURCES PROVIDED IN THE PER

- a. For information given in a draft Public Environment Report, the draft must state:
 - i. the source of the information
 - ii. how recent the information is
 - iii. how the reliability of the information was tested
 - iv. what uncertainties (if any) are in the information.

Ecological data provision

The PER must include an appendix of occurrence records (both sightings and evidence of presence) for all MNES identified during field surveys for the proposed action. This data may be used by the department to update the relevant species distribution models that underpin the publicly available Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST).

The species occurrence records must be provided in accordance with the department's [Guidelines for biological survey and mapped data \(2018\)](#) using the species observation data template provided with this request for additional information. Sensitive ecological data must be identified and treated in accordance with the department's [Sensitive Ecological Data – Access and Management Policy V1.0](#) (2016) or subsequent revision.

15. CONCLUSION

An overall conclusion as to the environmental acceptability of the action should be provided, including discussion on compliance with principles of ESD and the objects and requirements of the EPBC Act. Reasons justifying undertaking the action in the manner proposed should also be outlined.

Measures proposed or required by way of offset for any unavoidable impacts on MNES, and the relative degree of compensation, should be restated here.

Attachment 1 - The Objects and Principles of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation ACT 1999 Sections 3 and 3A

3 Objects of the Act

- (a) to provide for the protection of the environment, especially those aspects of the environment that are matters of national environmental significance.
- (b) to promote ecologically sustainable development through the conservation and ecologically sustainable use of natural resources.
- (c) to promote the conservation of biodiversity.
- (d) to promote a co-operative approach to the protection and management of the environment involving governments, the community, landholders and indigenous peoples.
- (e) to assist in the co-operative implementation of Australia's international environmental responsibilities.
- (f) to recognise the role of indigenous people in the conservation and ecologically sustainable use of Australia's biodiversity.
- (g) to promote the use of indigenous peoples' knowledge of biodiversity with the involvement of, and in co-operation with, the owners of the knowledge.

3A Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development

The following principles are principles of ecologically sustainable development.

- (a) Decision-making processes should effectively integrate both long-term and short-term economic, environmental, social and equitable considerations.
- (b) If there are threats of serious or irreversible environmental damage, lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to prevent environmental degradation.
- (c) The principle of inter-generational equity – that the present generation should ensure that the health, diversity and productivity of the environment is maintained or enhanced for the benefit of future generations.
- (d) The conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity should be a fundamental consideration in decision-making.
- (e) Improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms should be promoted.

Attachment 2 - Matters that must be addressed in a PER and EIS (Schedule 4 of the EPBC Regulations 2000)

1 General information

1.01 The background of the action including:

- (a) the title of the action
- (b) the full name and postal address of the designated proponent
- (c) a clear outline of the objective of the action
- (d) the location of the action
- (e) the background to the development of the action
- (f) how the action relates to any other actions (of which the proponent should reasonably be aware) that have been, or are being, taken or that have been approved in the region affected by the action
- (g) the current status of the action
- (h) the consequences of not proceeding with the action.

2 Description

2.01 A description of the action, including:

- (a) all the components of the action
- (b) the precise location of any works to be undertaken, structures to be built or elements of the action that may have relevant impacts
- (c) how the works are to be undertaken and design parameters for those aspects of the structures or elements of the action that may have relevant impacts
- (d) relevant impacts of the action
- (e) proposed safeguards and mitigation measures to deal with relevant impacts of the action
- (f) any other requirements for approval or conditions that apply, or that the proponent reasonably believes are likely to apply, to the proposed action
- (g) to the extent reasonably practicable, any feasible alternatives to the action, including:
 - (i) if relevant, the alternative of taking no action
 - (ii) a comparative description of the impacts of each alternative on the matters protected by the controlling provisions for the action
 - (iii) sufficient detail to make clear why any alternative is preferred to another.

- (h) any consultation about the action, including:
 - (i) any consultation that has already taken place
 - (ii) proposed consultation about relevant impacts of the action
 - (iii) if there has been consultation about the proposed action — any documented response to, or result of, the consultation.
- (i) identification of affected parties, including a statement mentioning any communities that may be affected and describing their views.

3 Relevant impacts

3.01 Information given under paragraph 2.01(d) must include:

- (a) a description of the relevant impacts of the action
- (b) a detailed assessment of the nature and extent of the likely short term and long-term relevant impacts
- (c) a statement whether any relevant impacts are likely to be unknown, unpredictable or irreversible
- (d) analysis of the significance of the relevant impacts
- (e) any technical data and other information used or needed to make a detailed assessment of the relevant impacts.

4 Proposed safeguards and mitigation measures

4.01 Information given under paragraph 2.01(e) must include:

- (a) a description, and an assessment of the expected or predicted effectiveness of the mitigation measures
- (b) any statutory or policy basis for the mitigation measures
- (c) the cost of the mitigation measures
- (d) an outline of an environmental management plan that sets out the framework for continuing management, mitigation and monitoring programs for the relevant impacts of the action, including any provisions for independent environmental auditing
- (e) the name of the agency responsible for endorsing or approving each mitigation measure or monitoring program
- (f) a consolidated list of mitigation measures proposed to be undertaken to prevent, minimise or compensate for the relevant impacts of the action, including mitigation measures proposed to be taken by State governments, local governments or the proponent.

5 Other Approvals and Conditions

5.01 Information given under paragraph 2.01(f) must include:

- (a) details of any local or State government planning scheme, or plan or policy under any local or State government planning system that deals with the proposed action, including:
 - (i) what environmental assessment of the proposed action has been, or is being carried out under the scheme, plan or policy
 - (ii) how the scheme provides for the prevention, minimisation and management of any relevant impacts.
- (b) a description of any approval that has been obtained from a State, Territory or Commonwealth agency or authority (other than an approval under the EPBC Act), including any conditions that apply to the action
- (c) a statement identifying any additional approval that is required
- (d) a description of the monitoring, enforcement and review procedures that apply, or are proposed to apply, to the action.

6 Environmental record of person proposing to take the action

6.01 Details of any proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against:

- (a) the person proposing to take the action
- (b) for an action for which a person has applied for a permit, the person making the application.

6.02 If the person proposing to take the action is a corporation — details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework.