

# Spring Farm Electrical Easement

Application Number: **03176**

Commencement Date:  
**03/10/2025**

Status: **Locked**

---

## 1. About the project

### 1.1 Project details

#### 1.1.1 Project title \*

Spring Farm Electrical Easement

#### 1.1.2 Project industry type \*

Energy Generation and Supply (non-renewable)

#### 1.1.3 Project industry sub-type

Transmission Line

#### 1.1.4 Estimated start date \*

01/04/2026

#### 1.1.4 Estimated end date \*

31/12/2027

## 1.2 Proposed Action details

### 1.2.1 Provide an overview of the proposed action, including all proposed activities. \*

The proposal is for a section of 132kV transmission line works. As this is a Part 5 assessment, Endeavour Energy has opted in to the Biodiversity Offset Scheme (BOS) to assess the direct, indirect and prescribed impacts and determine the required biodiversity offsets through a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) that will be attached.

As confirmed by botanical survey, the HV Line will impact a total of 1.19 ha of native vegetation, which includes the following Plant Community Types (PCTs):

- PCT 3319 – Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland

(a) Poor (1 ha) – 0.16 ha impacted - VIS 35.6

(b) Regrowth (0.12 ha) – 0.12 ha impacted – VIS 4.4

- PCT 4162 – Elderslie Banksia Scrub

(a) Regrowth vegetation (0.71 ha) – 0.21 ha impacted - VIS 9.8

(b) Poor vegetation (2.01 ha) – 0.70 ha impacted - VIS 25.7

(c) Regenerating vegetation (0.65 ha) – not impacted

Total of the EPBC mNES commensurate vegetation impacted - Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest - 0.91 ha of poor and regrowth condition, and Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest - 0.16 ha.

The proposal is within a Swift Parrot important mapped area. 0.24 ha of the important mapped area will be impacted by the proposal.

The applicant will provide a local nett positive restoration offset on the corner of Liz Kernohan Drive and Barrow Road within the same Spring Farm Masterplan area.

The above information is confirmed in the Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (Attachment 2)

### 1.2.2 Is the project action part of a staged development or related to other actions or proposals in the region?

No

### 1.2.6 What Commonwealth or state legislation, planning frameworks or policy documents are relevant to the proposed action, and how are they relevant? \*

1. Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest A guide to identifying and protecting the nationally threatened ecological community Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Policy Statement 3.31. This is relevant in determining whether or not the vegetation on site is commensurate with the EPBC listed definition and thresholds relating to the critically endangered ecological community. The document also discusses priority conservation actions which have been reviewed to guide the proposed mitigation matters relating to the action.
2. The BC Act state legislation has been used for to assess the DA. The action is located in a biodiversity values mapped area. Whilst the proposal under state legislation is under Part 5 of the *EP&A Act*, the proponent has opted in to the Biodiversity Offset Scheme. The proposal has been assessed via a full BDAR for state legislation matters, with credits generated for the vegetation loss, and residual species credit impacts.
3. SEPP (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 - Clause 2.7 (1) of the Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP states that an authority to clear vegetation under this policy is not required if it is a clearing authorised under s60(O) of the *Local Land Services Act 2013*. Section 60(O) provides an exemption for clearing under Part 5 of the EP&A Act and therefore consent is not required under this SEPP. The provisions of Chapter 3 and 4 of the Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP relate to the protection of koala habitat. Camden Council is not listed in Schedule 2 of Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP. These chapters are not applicable to the proposal.
4. SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 - Chapter 2 of the Resilience and Hazards SEPP aims to promote an integrated and coordinated approach to land use planning in the coastal zone in a manner consistent with the objects of the *Coastal Management Act 2016* (CM Act). The objectives of Chapter 2 are to manage development in the coastal zone and establish a framework for land use planning and decision making in the coastal zone. The proposal is not located on land mapped under the resilience and Hazards SEPP and is not mapped within a Coastal Environment Area, Coastal Wetland (or proximity area) or Coastal Use Area. Chapter 3 of the Resilience and Hazards SEPP manages hazardous and offensive industries. The proposal is not a hazardous or offensive industry. Chapter 4 of the Resilience and Hazards SEPP provides a statewide planning approach to the remediation of contaminated land. Site remediation activities have been assessed as part of a separate SER and will be completed prior to works commencing.
5. SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021 - Division 1 Consultation outlines consultation requirements when developing Zone Substations. This may involve consultation with Councils and other authorities. As the proposal meets the definition of development for the purposes of an electricity transmission or distribution network (s2.44) and would be carried out by Endeavour Energy (an ANO), this proposal is permissible without consent.
6. Camden Local Environmental Plan (2010) - The LEP provides a framework that guides planning decisions for local government areas through zoning and development controls. The proposal site is zoned C2.
7. SEPP (Koala Habitat Protection) 2021 - Chapter 4 of State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 (Koala Habitat Protection) applies to land within LGAs listed under Schedule 2 of the Policy. As the study area falls under the Camden Council LGA, which is not listed under Schedule 2, it is considered that Koala SEPP 2021 does not apply to this proposal. Therefore, the overarching legislative document relating to Koalas is the *BC Act*. Note, the SEPP (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 now incorporates the Koala SEPP. As of May 2025, the nearest Koala records located to the study area include 1 (one) rehabilitation record in 2013 located to the northwest of the site at Camden township and 5 (five) records within or within proximity to Mt. Annan Botanical Gardens to the east recorded in 2018, 2020 and 2021. DPE list seven (7) Koala Management Areas (KMAs) which provide regional divisions across NSW, partly based on the distribution of preferred Koala food trees and partly on council boundaries to make management of resources easier. As the study area falls under the Camden Council LGA, the Central Coast KMA applies with regards to Koala use tree species. Four (4) koala use tree species within the study area that are considered within this KMA - *Allocasuarina littoralis*, *Eucalyptus crebra*, *Eucalyptus*

*moluccana* and *Eucalyptus tereticornis* – with *E. moluccana* and *E. tereticornis* considered high use tree species.

8. Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan - The site is located within the Cumberland Plain Conservation Plan (CPCP) area. However, it is not mapped as a strategic conservation area. Therefore, no conservation actions regarding the CPCP are required. Already protected land areas are located south of the study area, adjoining the Nepean River. This site of 37.5 ha is under a BioBanking agreement number 397 V1.5, 2017. However, the area on the eastern side (delimited in purple) between the study area and the protected land is not under a BioBanking agreement.

**1.2.7 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken regarding the project area, including with Indigenous stakeholders. Attach any completed consultation documentations, if relevant. \***

All detail is within Section 4 of the Review of Environmental Factors - see Attachment 4.

**Have any community stakeholders been identified for the proposed works?**

Yes  No

Road users of Springs Road would be stakeholders for the proposal. Community stakeholders also include private landowners within 200 m of the proposal. Approximately 23 residences are located within 200 m of the proposal site.

The nearest receiver to the proposal site is at 38 Gulgong Crescent, Rouse Hill 2155, which is located approximately 50 m south of the proposal site.

Several new residential properties are being constructed along Garfield Road East associated with the Fairwood Rise Rouse Hill development which may be completed and become inhabited during the construction of the proposal, resulting in a greater number of potential receivers within 200 m of the proposal.

**Is consultation with other authorities required under the requirements of Clauses 2.10-2.17 of the Transport and Infrastructure SEPP?**

Yes  No

**Are the works adjacent to a national park, nature reserve or other area reserved under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974?**

Yes  No

**Are the works adjacent to a declared aquatic reserve under the Fisheries Management Act 1994?**

Yes  No

**Other agency and community consultation:**

A Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy (CSES) has been developed by GHD (GHD, 2025) and would be implemented by Endeavour and the contractor, Appendix F.

The CSES aims to:

- Define key community and stakeholder groups with Endeavour to be notified.
- Develop key messages.
- Develop an action plan to deliver notification collateral.
- Outline the reporting mechanism for stakeholder consultation.

**Notification requirements**

The following collateral has been prepared:

- Council letter with a 40-day response timeframe.
- Project notification letter to neighbouring properties and stakeholders.
- Local paper advertisement.
- Stakeholder emails.
- Web page content.

**Other**

The last remaining action for aboriginal heritage was burial of one artefact. This was completed last year, refer to Attachment 5.

## 1.3.1 Identity: Referring party

### **Privacy Notice:**

Personal information means information or an opinion about an identified individual, or an individual who is reasonably identifiable.

By completing and submitting this form, you consent to the collection of all personal information contained in this form. If you are providing the personal information of other individuals in this form, please ensure you have their consent before doing so.

The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (the department) collects your personal information (as defined by the Privacy Act 1988) through this platform for the purposes of enabling the department to consider your submission and contact you in relation to your submission. If you fail to provide some or all of the personal information requested on this platform (name and email address), the department will be unable to contact you to seek further information (if required) and subsequently may impact the consideration given to your submission.

Personal information may be disclosed to other Australian government agencies, persons or organisations where necessary for the above purposes, provided the disclosure is consistent with relevant laws, in particular the Privacy Act 1988 (Privacy Act). Your personal information will be used and stored in accordance with the Australian Privacy Principles.

See our Privacy Policy to learn more about accessing or correcting personal information or making a complaint.

Alternatively, email us at [privacy@dcceew.gov.au](mailto:privacy@dcceew.gov.au).

**Confirm that you have read and understand this Privacy Notice \***

### **1.3.1.1 Is Referring party an organisation or business? \***

Yes

Referring party organisation details

**ABN/ACN** 85624419870  
**Organisation name** TBE ENVIRONMENTAL PTY LTD  
**Organisation address** 2250 NSW

Referring party details

**Name** Lindsay Holmes  
**Job title** Ecologist  
**Phone** 0243405331  
**Email** lholmes@traverseecology.com.au  
**Address** 52 The Avenue, Kariong, NSW, 2250

## 1.3.2 Identity: Person proposing to take the action

**1.3.2.1 Are the Person proposing to take the action details the same as the Referring party details? \***

No

**1.3.2.2 Is Person proposing to take the action an organisation or business? \***

Yes

Person proposing to take the action organisation details

**ABN/ACN** 81607963093  
**Organisation name** AVID PROPERTY GROUP PTY LTD  
**Organisation address** 2000 NSW

Person proposing to take the action details

**Name** Gordan Opacic  
**Job title** Senior Development Manager  
**Phone** 0407939841  
**Email** gopacic@avid.com.au  
**Address** Level 6 58 Norwest Blvd, Baulkham Hills NSW 2153

**1.3.2.14 Are you proposing the action as part of a Joint Venture? \***

No

**1.3.2.15 Are you proposing the action as part of a Trust? \***

No

**1.3.2.17 Describe the Person proposing the action's history of responsible environmental management including details of any proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against the Person proposing to take the action. \***

There are no previous proceedings under the Commonwealth by the Person proposing the action.

The Person has been involved with assisting the implementation of restoration programs around the Spring Farm masterplan area by initiation and following up on Vegetation Management Plan works on adjoining lands, including but not limited to liaison with Camden City Council.

**1.3.2.18 If the person proposing to take the action is a corporation, provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework**

AVID Property Group is committed to building communities that are environmentally responsible and sustainable. And to ensure we meet our targets and expectations, each project is assessed under the Urban Development Institute of Australia's (UDIA) national EnviroDevelopment certification.

## 1.3.3 Identity: Proposed designated proponent

**1.3.3.1 Are the Proposed designated proponent details the same as the Person proposing to take the action? \***

Yes

Proposed designated proponent organisation details

**ABN/ACN** 81607963093  
**Organisation name** AVID PROPERTY GROUP PTY LTD  
**Organisation address** 2000 NSW

Proposed designated proponent details

**Name** Gordan Opacic  
**Job title** Senior Development Manager  
**Phone** 0407939841  
**Email** gopacic@avid.com.au  
**Address** Level 6 58 Norwest Blvd, Baulkham Hills NSW 2153

## 1.3.4 Identity: Summary of allocation

---

## ✔ Confirmed Referring party's identity

The Referring party is the person preparing the information in this referral.

---

ABN/ACN	85624419870
Organisation name	TBE ENVIRONMENTAL PTY LTD
Organisation address	2250 NSW
Representative's name	Lindsay Holmes
Representative's job title	Ecologist
Phone	0243405331
Email	lholmes@traverseecology.com.au
Address	52 The Avenue, Kariong, NSW, 2250

---

## ✔ Confirmed Person proposing to take the action's identity

The Person proposing to take the action is the individual, business, government agency or trustee that will be responsible for the proposed action.

---

ABN/ACN	81607963093
Organisation name	AVID PROPERTY GROUP PTY LTD
Organisation address	2000 NSW
Representative's name	Gordan Opacic
Representative's job title	Senior Development Manager
Phone	0407939841
Email	gopacic@avid.com.au
Address	Level 6 58 Norwest Blvd, Baulkham Hills NSW 2153

---

## ✔ Confirmed Proposed designated proponent's identity

The Person proposing to take the action is the individual or organisation proposed to be responsible for meeting the requirements of the EPBC Act during the assessment process, if the Minister decides that this project is a controlled action.

---

Same as Person proposing to take the action information.

## 1.4 Payment details: Payment exemption and fee waiver

**1.4.1 Do you qualify for an exemption from fees under EPBC Regulation 5.23 (1) (a)? \***

No

**1.4.3 Have you applied for or been granted a waiver for full or partial fees under Regulation 5.21A? \***

No

**1.4.5 Are you going to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under EPBC Regulation 5.21A?**

No

**1.4.7 Has the department issued you with a credit note? \***

No

**1.4.9 Would you like to add a purchase order number to your invoice? \***

No

## 1.4 Payment details: Payment allocation

**1.4.11 Who would you like to allocate as the entity responsible for payment? \***

Person proposing to take the action

## 2. Location



## 2.2 Footprint details

### 2.2.1 What is the address of the proposed action? \*

Lot 106 and 108 DP 1241598, at Stage P600, 27 Barrow Road, Spring Farm NSW 2570

### 2.2.2 Where is the primary jurisdiction of the proposed action? \*

New South Wales

### 2.2.3 Is there a secondary jurisdiction for this proposed action? \*

No

### 2.2.5 What is the tenure of the action area relevant to the project area? \*

Zoning - C2 – Environmental Conservation and R1 – Low Density Residential

No parts of the site are crown land. All land is privately owned.

## 3. Existing environment

## 3.1 Physical description

### 3.1.1 Describe the current condition of the project area's environment.

Location – Lot 106 and Lot 108 DP 1241598, Springs Road, Spring Farm

Distance from major towns – 6.55 Km to the west of Campbelltown Hospital. Located approximately 345 m to the North of the Nepean River.

Zoning – C2 – Environmental Conservation and R1 – Low Density Residential.

Current land use – Rural, one house and grazing animals, disused tennis court, 4x shipping containers.

The current vegetation has varying conditions throughout the site ranging from good – moderate quality to derived and poor. The following condition classes are as follows:

Vegetation community zone 1 – Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland – 1ha (impacted 0.16 ha)

Vegetation Community zone 2 – Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland (regrowth) – 0.12 ha (impacted 0.12 ha)

Vegetation community zone 3 – Elderslie Banksia Scrub (regrowth) – 0.71 ha (impacted 0.21 ha)

Vegetation community zone 4 – Elderslie Banksia Scrub (poor) – 2.01 ha (impacted 0.7 ha)

Vegetation community zone 5 – Elderslie Banksia Scrub (regeneration) – 0.65 ha (no impacts)

Additionally, the proposed development will impact the following non-native vegetation:

- Exotic pasture – 1.62 ha

Detailed vegetation descriptions are provided in Attachment 2, Section 3, page 21.

### 3.1.2 Describe any existing or proposed uses for the project area.

Current use – Undeveloped land and Electrical Easement

Future use - Low density residential (Northern portion of the site), Electrical Easement (Southern Portion of the site)

As part of the previous DA for Precinct 600 of the Spring Farm Masterplan, there has been earthworks undertaken at some locations although no current physically built forms exist.

Approved soil translocation works have been completed as part of the VMP works for P600 to restore a combination of Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest and Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest as approved under the *TSC Act* 1995, prior to the enactment of the NSW Biodiversity Offset Scheme.

### 3.1.3 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values that applies to the project area.

Native vegetation occurs onsite as the EPBC listed Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest (CPSW&SGTF) and Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest (EBSF).

EBSF – impacted by the proposal = 0.91 ha

CPSW&SGTF – Impacted by the proposal = 0.16 ha noting 0.12 ha was not commensurate with the EPBC condition criteria

There are no areas of geological significance, eg, karst, cliffs, caves.

There are no confirmed watercourses on site, only retention ponds used for construction.

EPBC specific matters are addressed in the EPBC Report - Attachment 1, section 4, page 19.

**3.1.4 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.**

Topography of the site is approximately 96-118 m AHD. The site is located mid – slope on gently rolling hills with an easterly aspect.

There are no marine areas associated with this project.

## 3.2 Flora and fauna

### 3.2.1 Describe the flora and fauna within the affected area and attach any investigations of surveys if applicable.

Ecological survey has occurred over the site since 2018.

The following vegetation communities are present onsite:

- *PCT 3319 Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland – 1ha (impacted 0.16 ha)*
- *PCT 3319 Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland (regrowth) – 0.12 ha (impacted 0.12 ha)*
- *PCT 4162 Elderslie Banksia Scrub (regrowth) – 0.71 ha (impacted 0.21 ha)*
- *PCT 4162 Elderslie Banksia Scrub (poor) – 2.01 ha (impacted 0.7 ha)*
- *PCT 4162 Elderslie Banksia Scrub (regeneration) – 0.65 ha (no impacts)*
- *Exotic vegetation – 1.62 ha (no offsets required)*

PCT 4162 Elderslie Banksia Scrub is commensurate with the *EPBC Act* Critically Endangered Listed *Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest*

0.16 ha of PCT 3319 Cumberland Shale Hills Woodland is commensurate with the *EPBC Act* Critically Endangered Listed Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest

EPBC listed threatened entities observed or assumed present on site include:

- Grey-headed Flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*) - observed foraging
- Swift Parrot (*Lathamus discolor*) – Important habitat mapping - assumed based on the mapping
- Green and Golden Bell Frog (*Litoria aurea*) - assumed present because the BAM doesn't allow you to discredit it without compliant survey

The BDAR by Travers bushfire & ecology (October 2025) contains observed species lists for flora (Table A15.2 and fauna (Table A15.1).

### 3.2.2 Describe the vegetation (including the status of native vegetation and soil) within the project area.

Vegetation is described as either PCT 3319, PCT 4162 or exotic pasture. The vegetation types have been broken down into zones of condition.

Vegetation within the site contains varying degrees of degradation and regeneration, including exotic dominated groundstorey throughout the site and along the proposed easement route.

- *PCT 3319 - Cumberland Shale Plains Woodland* - CEEC
- *PCT 4162 – Elderslie Banksia Scrub* – CEEC

Vegetation condition has been measured using BAM 2020 for the BDAR. For each vegetation zone, the vegetation integrity score was measured. For the poor zones, this ranged from 25-40, and in the regrowth zones, was below 10. Those below 15 (for critically endangered ecological communities) do not require ecosystem credits under the *BC Act* in accordance with the BAM 2020.

Geology – Quaternary alluvium – quartz and lithic “fluvial” sand, silt and clay. High level Tertiary Alluvium – Quartz and lithic silt and Clay

Soils – Theresa Park (9029tp). The soils have been modified by construction works undertaken for development and easement access.

Bushfire – The site has not been affected by bushfire for >10 years.

## 3.3 Heritage

### 3.3.1 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas or other places recognised as having heritage values that apply to the project area.

No Commonwealth heritage places were listed in the Protected Matters coordinate search tool.

An AHIMS search undertaken from the database in April 2025, identified five (5) registered sites within the study area, however have been historically subjected to salvage work under an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP) and therefore it is considered that any heritage constraints have been dealt with within the study area.

### 3.3.2 Describe any Indigenous heritage values that apply to the project area.

The study area has been subject to previous Aboriginal heritage assessments which resulted in the identification of Aboriginal heritage constraints on the study area. A search of the AHIMS database in April 2025 identified registered sites within the study area. Five (5) Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the study area.

However, the study area has historically been the subject of salvage works under two Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permits (AHIP). These included archaeological test and salvage excavations, as well as an Aboriginal community surface salvage at:

- AHIP # 1118827 for the construction of two 132 kV Transmission Lines at Spring Farm in September 2010. These works were completed in 2010 (Navin Officer. 2010. Proposed 132KV Transmission Line Easement, Spring Farm, Camden, NSW. Archaeological Subsurface Testing and Salvage Program).
- AHIP 4908 for a residential subdivision at 27 Barrow Road, Spring Farm NSW (Austral Archaeology. 2022. 27 Barrow Road, Spring Farm NSW: Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment). The boundary of the study area of the ACHAR 2022 varies from the cadastral boundary, south of the study area (Figure 5.4). The surface collection was completed in June 2022 by Austral Archaeology (Reference the AHIP 4908 – Community Collection Complete email here: attached). On June 5th 2025, an Aboriginal artefact located within P600 was collected as part of a community collection with registered Aboriginal stakeholders and reburied in a location not impacted by the proposed works.

As a result the easement and any associated artefacts at the above sites, have been cleared and are not impacted by the proposed works.

Refer to attachment 5.

## 3.4 Hydrology

### 3.4.1 Describe the hydrology characteristics that apply to the project area and attach any hydrological investigations or surveys if applicable. \*

No mapped watercourses were observed within the subject land. The nearest watercourse is the Nepean River (>4th order Strahler Stream) is located approximately 340 m to the south of the proposal, where any associated riparian buffers will not be impeded. Whilst there is a mapped dam and aquatic habitat, this is the result previous construction and is used as a drainage swale. Overland waterflow is to the south and gradually into the Nepean River.

## 4. Impacts and mitigation

## 4.1 Impact details

**Potential Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) relevant to your proposed action area.**

<b>EPBC Act section</b>	<b>Controlling provision</b>	<b>Impacted</b>	<b>Reviewed</b>
S12	World Heritage	No	Yes
S15B	National Heritage	No	Yes
S16	Ramsar Wetland	No	Yes
S18	Threatened Species and Ecological Communities	Yes	Yes
S20	Migratory Species	No	Yes
S21	Nuclear	No	Yes
S23	Commonwealth Marine Area	No	Yes
S24B	Great Barrier Reef	No	Yes
S24D	Water resource in relation to large coal mining development or coal seam gas	No	Yes
S26	Commonwealth Land	No	Yes
S27B	Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas	No	Yes
S28	Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency	No	Yes

### **4.1.1 World Heritage**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

#### **4.1.1.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

#### **4.1.1.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The proposal does not impact the Australian Convict Sites along the Old Great North Road, Gondwana Rainforests of Australia, Greater Blue Mountains, Willandra Lakes Region, Lord Howe Island Group, not the Sydney Opera House and Australian Convict Sites (located around Sydney Harbour).

### **4.1.2 National Heritage**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

#### **4.1.2.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

#### **4.1.2.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

There are no National Heritage Places located within or adjacent to the Lot where the proposed action occurs.

### **4.1.3 Ramsar Wetland**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.3.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.3.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The nearest RAMSAR wetland is at Towra Point, approximately 40 km east of the location of the proposed action.

**4.1.4 Threatened Species and Ecological Communities**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

### Threatened species

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Species	Common name
No	No	<i>Acacia bynoeana</i>	Bynoe's Wattle, Tiny Wattle
No	No	<i>Allocasuarina glareicola</i>	
No	No	<i>Anthochaera phrygia</i>	Regent Honeyeater
No	No	<i>Aphelocephala leucopsis</i>	Southern Whiteface
No	No	<i>Aprasia parapulchella</i>	Pink-tailed Worm-lizard, Pink-tailed Legless Lizard
No	No	<i>Austrocordulia leonardi</i>	Sydney Hawk Dragonfly
No	No	<i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i>	Australasian Bittern
No	No	<i>Calidris acuminata</i>	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper
No	No	<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	Curlew Sandpiper
No	No	<i>Callocephalon fimbriatum</i>	Gang-gang Cockatoo
No	Yes	<i>Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami</i>	South-eastern Glossy Black-Cockatoo
No	No	<i>Chalinolobus dwyeri</i>	Large-eared Pied Bat, Large Pied Bat
No	No	<i>Climacteris picumnus victoriae</i>	Brown Treecreeper (south-eastern)
No	No	<i>Cynanchum elegans</i>	White-flowered Wax Plant
No	No	<i>Dasyurus maculatus maculatus</i> (SE mainland population)	Spot-tailed Quoll, Spotted-tail Quoll, Tiger Quoll (southeastern mainland population)
No	No	<i>Erythroriorchis radiatus</i>	Red Goshawk
No	No	<i>Eucalyptus benthamii</i>	Camden White Gum, Nepean River Gum
No	No	<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>	Grey Falcon
No	No	<i>Gallinago hardwickii</i>	Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe
No	No	<i>Genoplesium baueri</i>	Yellow Gnat-orchid, Bauer's Midge Orchid, Brittle Midge Orchid

<b>Direct impact</b>	<b>Indirect impact</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>Common name</b>
No	No	<i>Grantiella picta</i>	Painted Honeyeater
No	No	<i>Haloragis exalata</i> subsp. <i>exalata</i>	Wingless Raspwort, Square Raspwort
No	No	<i>Heleioporus australiacus</i> <i>australiacus</i>	Giant Burrowing Frog, Eastern Owl Frog
No	No	<i>Hirundapus caudacutus</i>	White-throated Needletail
No	No	<i>Hoplocephalus bungaroides</i>	Broad-headed Snake
Yes	Yes	<i>Lathamus discolor</i>	Swift Parrot
No	No	<i>Litoria aurea</i>	Green and Golden Bell Frog
No	No	<i>Macquaria australasica</i>	Macquarie Perch
No	No	<i>Melaleuca deanei</i>	Deane's Melaleuca
No	No	<i>Melanodryas cucullata cucullata</i>	South-eastern Hooded Robin, Hooded Robin (south-eastern)
No	No	<i>Neophema chrysostoma</i>	Blue-winged Parrot
No	No	<i>Numenius madagascariensis</i>	Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew
No	No	<i>Persicaria elatior</i>	Knotweed, Tall Knotweed
No	No	<i>Petauroides volans</i>	Greater Glider (southern and central)
No	No	<i>Petaurus australis australis</i>	Yellow-bellied Glider (south-eastern)
No	No	<i>Petrogale penicillata</i>	Brush-tailed Rock-wallaby
No	No	<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i> (combined populations of Qld, NSW and the ACT)	Koala (combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory)
No	No	<i>Pimelea spicata</i>	Spiked Rice-flower
No	No	<i>Pomaderris brunnea</i>	Rufous Pomaderris, Brown Pomaderris
No	No	<i>Pomaderris cotoneaster</i>	Cotoneaster Pomaderris
No	No	<i>Prototroctes maraena</i>	Australian Grayling
No	No	<i>Pseudomys novaehollandiae</i>	New Holland Mouse, Pookila
Yes	Yes	<i>Pteropus poliocephalus</i>	Grey-headed Flying-fox
No	No	<i>Pterostylis saxicola</i>	Sydney Plains Greenhood

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Species	Common name
No	No	<i>Pycnoptilus floccosus</i>	Pilotbird
No	No	<i>Rhizanthella slateri</i>	Eastern Underground Orchid
No	No	<i>Rostratula australis</i>	Australian Painted Snipe
No	No	<i>Stagonopleura guttata</i>	Diamond Firetail
No	No	<i>Thesium australe</i>	Austral Toadflax, Toadflax
No	No	<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	Common Greenshank, Greenshank

### Ecological communities

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Ecological community
No	No	Castlereagh Scribbly Gum and Agnes Banks Woodlands of the Sydney Basin Bioregion
No	No	Coastal Swamp Sclerophyll Forest of New South Wales and South East Queensland
No	No	Cooks River/Castlereagh Ironbark Forest of the Sydney Basin Bioregion
Yes	Yes	Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest
Yes	Yes	Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest in the Sydney Basin Bioregion
No	No	River-flat eucalypt forest on coastal floodplains of southern New South Wales and eastern Victoria
No	No	Shale Sandstone Transition Forest of the Sydney Basin Bioregion
No	No	Western Sydney Dry Rainforest and Moist Woodland on Shale

**4.1.4.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

Yes

**4.1.4.2 Briefly describe why your action has a direct and/or indirect impact on these protected matters. \***

**Threatened species:**

- South-eastern Glossy Black-Cockatoo - impact on foraging habitat, ie impacts to Allocasuarina / Casuarina potentially. No impacts to breeding habtiat, no suitable hollows identified.
- Grey-headed Flying-fox - impact on foraging habitat. The site is not part of a roosting camp.
- Swift Parrot - low potential impact on foraging values. The site is part of a mapped buffer to former sightings of the species. The species does not breed in mainland Australia.

**Threatened communities:**

- Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest - direct impacts upon 0.91 ha of vegetation
- Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest - direct impacts upon 0.16 ha of vegetation.

A full report on EPBC matters is attached to the referral.

**4.1.4.4 Do you consider this likely direct and/or indirect impact to be a Significant Impact?**

\*

Yes

**4.1.4.5 Describe why you consider this to be a Significant Impact. \***

In accordance with the Significance Assessment Guide 1.1, there will be a signifiant impact on Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest due to the size of impact and the proportion of impact against what remains. A full report on EPBC matters is attached to the referral, refer to Appendix 3.

**4.1.4.7 Do you think your proposed action is a controlled action? \***

No

**4.1.4.9 Please elaborate why you do not think your proposed action is a controlled action.**

\*

Travers bushfire & ecology recommends that the proposal's action is a not controlled action on the following points:

- Site has an existing Masterplan DA history approvals which has been activated of a similar nature.
- The impact area is focussed on poor areas currently with a low VI score and high coverage of African Lovegrass and African Olive.
- Does not result in further fragmentation of local connectivity.
- Impacts to EPBC listed Grey-headed Flying-fox are on foraging resources, not breeding resources.
- Surveys indicate no removal of known EPBC listed threatened flora species is required.
- Mature vegetation areas are being avoided. The aerial imagery shows mature vegetation in the southern portion of the site, adjacent to conservation areas. Proposed works are focused on poor and cleared lands. The BDAR has used the BAM methodology to identify the vegetation integrity score for each vegetation zone. The majority of the proposed action is focussed on areas where the score was below 40, including areas that were too poor to require offsetting under the methodology (CPSW&SGTF regrowth vegetation areas).
- The proposal avoids actions that lead to isolation of habitats or fragmentation.

**4.1.4.10 Please describe any avoidance or mitigation measures proposed for this action and attach any supporting documentation for these avoidance and mitigation measures. \***

Mitigation measures are in Table 4.6 of the EPBC Assessment Report.

The proponent has been in negotiations with Camden Council to locate a potential offset site within the Spring Farm masterplan area that would be suitable for restoration. A specific site has been chosen to restore 0.91 ha of Elderslie Banksia Scrub Forest (EBSF) and 0.88 ha of Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest. The EBSF offset will include full restoration planting to a 1:1 ratio such that there is no nett loss of vegetation. Approximately half of the site has suitable substrate material for this restoration to take place. The remainder is more suitable for Cumberland Plain Shale Woodlands and Shale-Gravel Transition Forest. The offset area is 5.5x the area of that being impacted by the proposal.

The restoration details of soil works, planting, densities, weed control, maintenance and monitoring are detailed in the attached Vegetation Management Plan, (Att 3).

**4.1.4.11 Please describe any proposed offsets and attach any supporting documentation relevant to these measures. \***

As per 4.1.4.10 response, a restoration offset has been proposed on top of the retirement of credits as directed under the Biodiversity Offset Scheme. The restoration offset site details are in Att 3 (Vegetation Management Plan).

**4.1.5 Migratory Species**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Species	Common name
No	No	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Common Sandpiper
No	No	<i>Apus pacificus</i>	Fork-tailed Swift
No	No	<i>Calidris acuminata</i>	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper
No	No	<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	Curlew Sandpiper
No	No	<i>Calidris melanotos</i>	Pectoral Sandpiper
No	No	<i>Cuculus optatus</i>	Oriental Cuckoo, Horsfield's Cuckoo
No	No	<i>Gallinago hardwickii</i>	Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe
No	No	<i>Hirundapus caudacutus</i>	White-throated Needletail
No	No	<i>Motacilla flava</i>	Yellow Wagtail
No	No	<i>Numenius madagascariensis</i>	Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew
No	No	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	Osprey
No	No	<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	Common Greenshank, Greenshank

**4.1.5.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.5.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

Fauna survey has been conducted over multiple months and none of the aforementioned species have been recorded. It is unlikely that the proposal will have any impact, direct or indirect, on migratory species.

Several species are coastal / marine for which there is no suitable habitat - Sandpipers.

There will be no removal of waterbody vegetation or active removal of waterbodies, so those with reliance upon those features will not be impacted. Waterbodies within P600 are manmade and already have approval for residential development, eg. Latham's Snipe.

Several of the species may just use airspace over the site, eg. Fork-tailed Swift, and not likely to utilise the site for any foraging.

## 4.1.6 Nuclear

### 4.1.6.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on this protected matter? \*

No

### 4.1.6.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.

\*

The proposal does not:

- establish or significantly modify a nuclear installation of a facility for storing spent nuclear fuel
- require the transport of nuclear fuel or radioactive waste arising from reprocessing
- establish or significantly modify a facility for storing radioactive waste products arising from reprocessing
- including mining or milling of uranium ore
- establish or significantly modifying a large-scale disposal facility for radioactive waste
- de-commission or rehabilitating any facility or area in which an activity described above has been undertaken, or
- establish, significantly modifying, decommissioning or rehabilitating a facility where radioactive materials at or above the activity level specified in regulation 2.02 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000 (EPBC Regulations) are, were, or are proposed to be stored.

## 4.1.7 Commonwealth Marine Area

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

### 4.1.7.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \*

No

### 4.1.7.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.

\*

The proposal is not located in a coastal zone and will not directly or indirectly impact on a marine environment.

## 4.1.8 Great Barrier Reef

**4.1.8.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on this protected matter? \***

No

**4.1.8.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The proposal is not located on the Queensland coast.

**4.1.9 Water resource in relation to large coal mining development or coal seam gas**

**4.1.9.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on this protected matter? \***

No

**4.1.9.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The proposal is not related to coal mining or coal seam gas.

**4.1.10 Commonwealth Land**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.10.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.10.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The proposal does not impact on any areas of Commonwealth land.

**4.1.11 Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.11.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.11.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The proposal is very local in nature within the Greater Sydney region. It will not have any affection on overseas properties or heritage places.

**4.1.12 Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency**

#### **4.1.12.1 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth or a Commonwealth Agency? \***

No

## **4.2 Impact summary**

### **Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts**

You have indicated that the proposed action will likely have a significant impact on the following Matters of National Environmental Significance:

- Threatened Species and Ecological Communities (S18)

### **Conclusion on the likelihood of unlikely significant impacts**

You have indicated that the proposed action will unlikely have a significant impact on the following Matters of National Environmental Significance:

- World Heritage (S12)
- National Heritage (S15B)
- Ramsar Wetland (S16)
- Migratory Species (S20)
- Nuclear (S21)
- Commonwealth Marine Area (S23)
- Great Barrier Reef (S24B)
- Water resource in relation to large coal mining development or coal seam gas (S24D)
- Commonwealth Land (S26)
- Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas (S27B)
- Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency (S28)

## **4.3 Alternatives**

#### **4.3.1 Do you have any possible alternatives for your proposed action to be considered as part of your referral? \***

Yes

**4.3.2 Do you have an alternative timeline you are proposing for your proposed action? \***

Yes

**4.3.4 Do you have an alternative location you are proposing for your proposed action? \***

No

**4.3.5 Briefly describe why an alternative location for your proposed action was not possible. \***

The proposed works are considered the only viable solution, which is capable of meeting the capacity, with the demands forecast to rise further as the population expands locally.

Alternative options considered as part of the assessment and design:

1. Aerial elevated HV Transmission Lines – the supported approach by Endeavour Energy.
2. The do nothing option – This option is not supported by Endeavour Energy as the proposed 132 kV transmission line is an upgrade to supply critical power and expected demands within the MacArthur Bulk Supply Port.
3. Undergrounding of the HV transmission Line - Endeavour Energy has excluded this approach and is not considered to be a suitable approach for a HV line.
4. Alternative routes – No other available routes can be feasibly implemented as advised by Endeavour Energy given its closely proximity and connection requirements to the Spring Farm HV Substation.

As a result of the limited options that could be undertaken to further minimise or avoid impacts, the current proposed alignment has been adopted. The proposed works associated with the current design of Aerial elevated transmission lines is considered to be the only viable solution capable of meeting both the capacity and security requirements in the area to establish new 132 kV and 66 kV transmission lines to connect the Macarthur BSP.

In order to ensure that impacted native vegetation is replaced in the local landscape a local restoration offset has been identified in agreeance with Camden Council. All available possible restoration sites with Spring Farm have been canvassed with Camden Council with a preferred option being put forward.

The alternative option of underground transmission lines is not feasible due to the existing residential development within the Spring Farm locality. The proposal also means that housing is not bisected by the transmission lines in its current alignment.

Refer to Attachment 4, Review of Environmental Factors, section 2.5.

**4.3.6 Do you have alternative activities you are proposing for your proposed action? \***

No

**4.3.7 Briefly describe why an alternative activity for your proposed action was not possible. \***

The demand on local electricity by numerous residential developments is putting a strain on resources. Further infrastructure is required to meet the electricity demand in the south-west sector (of Sydney).

The alternative option of Underground Transmission lines is not feasible due to the existing residential development within the Spring Farm locality. The proposal also means that housing is not bisected by the transmission lines in its current alignment.

## 4.3.1 Alternatives: Timeline

### 4.3.1.1 Estimated start date for proposed alternative

01/07/2026

### 4.3.1.1 Estimated end date for proposed alternative

30/06/2028

### 4.3.1.2 Describe how the impacts and mitigation measures are different for your alternative timeline.

The later start time gives more flexibility to securing the offset credits and establishing the restoration program as advised in Att 3 - Vegetation Management Plan. There are also no other available offsets for EBSF currently available within the Spring Farm Masterplan area that adequately meet a no nett loss of the threatened ecological community.

## 4.3.4 Alternatives: Impact and mitigation

### 4.3.4.1 Do these alternatives have a different impact, avoidance, or mitigation measure compared to what you have already provided? \*

No

## 4.3.5 Alternatives: Considered alternatives

**4.3.5.1 Do you have any other alternative actions, including not taking the action, that you have considered but are not proposing as part of this referral? \***

No

## 5. Lodgement

## 5.1 Attachments

### 1.2.1 Overview of the proposed action

	<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document	Att 2 Biodiversity Development Assessment Report 19.12.25.pdf Biodiversity Development Assessment Report Part 5 Applicaiton	19/12/2025	No	High

### 1.2.7 Public consultation regarding the project area

	<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document	Att 5 Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form SENSITIVE.pdf Aboriginal findings on / adjacent to site	05/06/2025	Yes	High
#2.	Document	Att 5 Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form.pdf Aboriginal findings on / adjacent to site	05/06/2025	No	High

### 3.1.1 Current condition of the project area's environment

	<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document	Att 1 EPBC Report 19.01.26 SENSITIVE.pdf EPBC Ecological Report	19/01/2026	Yes	High
#2.	Document	Att 1 EPBC Report 19.01.26.pdf EPBC Ecological Report	19/01/2026	No	High

### 3.1.3 Natural features, important or unique values that applies to the project area

	<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document	Att 1 EPBC Report 19.01.26.pdf EPBC Report relating to ecological matters of national environmental significance	19/01/2026	No	High

### 3.3.2 Indigenous heritage values that apply to the project area

	<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document	Att 5 Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form SENSITIVE.pdf Aboriginal findings on / adjacent to site	04/06/2025	Yes	High
#2.	Document	Att 5 Aboriginal Site Impact Recording Form.pdf Aboriginal findings on / adjacent to site	04/06/2025	No	High

### 4.1.4.10 (Threatened Species and Ecological Communities) Avoidance or mitigation measures proposed for this action

--

<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document Att 3 Vegetation Management Plan VMP Spring Farm 22122025.pdf Vegetation Management Plan Liz Kernohan and Barrow Roads	22/12/2025	No	High

4.3.5 Why an alternative location for your proposed action was not possible

<b>Type</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>Confidence</b>
#1.	Document Att 4 Review of Environmental Factors 19.01.26.pdf Review of Environmental Factors for Spring Farm Electrical Easement	19/01/2026	No	High

## 5.2 Declarations

---

## Completed Referring party's declaration

The Referring party is the person preparing the information in this referral.

---

ABN/ACN	85624419870
Organisation name	TBE ENVIRONMENTAL PTY LTD
Organisation address	2250 NSW
Representative's name	Lindsay Holmes
Representative's job title	Ecologist
Phone	0243405331
Email	lholmes@traverseecology.com.au
Address	52 The Avenue, Kariong, NSW, 2250

Check this box to indicate you have read the referral form. \*

Check this box to confirm these are the correct identification details. \*

By checking this box, I, **Lindsay Holmes of TBE ENVIRONMENTAL PTY LTD**, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. \*

You may receive automated notifications that aim to assist you in tracking the progress of your project. You can opt out of these notifications by updating your communication preferences on your profile.

---

## Completed Person proposing to take the action's declaration

The Person proposing to take the action is the individual, business, government agency or trustee that will be responsible for the proposed action.

---

ABN/ACN	81607963093
Organisation name	AVID PROPERTY GROUP PTY LTD
Organisation address	2000 NSW
Representative's name	Gordan Opacic

Representative's job title	Senior Development Manager
Phone	0407939841
Email	gopacic@avid.com.au
Address	Level 6 58 Norwest Blvd, Baulkham Hills NSW 2153

Check this box to indicate you have read the referral form. \*

Check this box to confirm these are the correct identification details. \*

I, **Gordan Opacic of AVID PROPERTY GROUP PTY LTD**, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf or for the benefit of any other person or entity. \*

You may receive automated notifications that aim to assist you in tracking the progress of your project. You can opt out of these notifications by updating your communication preferences on your profile.

---

### **Completed Proposed designated proponent's declaration**

The Proposed designated proponent is the individual or organisation proposed to be responsible for meeting the requirements of the EPBC Act during the assessment process, if the Minister decides that this project is a controlled action.

---

Same as Person proposing to take the action information.

Check this box to indicate you have read the referral form. \*

Check this box to confirm these are the correct identification details. \*

I, **Gordan Opacic of AVID PROPERTY GROUP PTY LTD**, the Proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of myself as the Proposed designated proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral. \*

You may receive automated notifications that aim to assist you in tracking the progress of your project. You can opt out of these notifications by updating your communication preferences on your profile.