

Referral of proposed action

Project title:	Demolition of four buildings at Gallipoli Barracks, Enoggera, Queensland
-----------------------	---

1 Summary of proposed action

1.1 Short description

The Department of Defence proposes to demolish four buildings at Gallipoli Barracks, Enoggera, Queensland. The buildings occupy an area that is required for the construction of new facilities for an Army Regiment (8/9 RAR) under the Enhanced Land Force project. The buildings have been identified as possessing heritage value.

1.2 Latitude and longitude

location point	Latitude			Longitude		
	degrees	minutes	seconds	degrees	minutes	seconds

Note: The 4 warehouse buildings fall within an area of less than 5 hectares. As such, one set of latitude and longitude co-ordinate has been provided.

Lat: 27°25'11.78"S Lon: 152°59'5.63"E

1.3 Locality and property description

The buildings are located in the north eastern part of Gallipoli Barracks at Enoggera in Queensland. Gallipoli Barracks lies approximately 6 kilometres north-west of the Brisbane CBD and is a functioning Department of Defence Army barracks.

1.4 Size of the development footprint or work area (hectares)

The buildings occupy an area of approximately 1.2 ha.

1.5 Street address of the site

The buildings are located on Secombe Parade within Gallipoli Barracks.

1.6 Lot description

Lots 402 on RP839942 and 400 on S3127

1.7 Local Government Area and Council contact (if known)

The proposed action will occur on Commonwealth land and is not subject to local government requirements. The surrounding land lies within Brisbane City Council's jurisdiction.

1.8 Time frame

The demolition activity will commence as soon as practicable after any requirements under the EPBC Act have been satisfied.

1.9 Alternatives to proposed action

	No
--	----

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes, you must also complete section 2.2
1.10 Alternative time frames etc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	
1.11 State assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, you must also complete Section 2.5
1.12 Component of larger action	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
Is the proposed action a component of a larger action?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes, you must also complete Section 2.7
1.13 Related actions/proposals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region (if known)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, provide details:
1.14 Australian Government funding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, provide details:
1.15 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Is the proposed action inside the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes, you must also complete Section 3.1 (h), 3.2 (e)

2 Detailed description of proposed action

2.1 Description of proposed action

In August 2006 the Australian Government announced the Enhanced Land Force (ELF) initiative to increase of capacity of the Australian Army through the creation of two additional infantry battle groups and essential joint and Defence enablers in order to meet current and projected increasing demands on the Australian Defence Force. Stage 2 of the ELF initiative will create an additional motorised infantry battalion (8th/9th Battalion, Royal Australian Regiment (8/9 RAR)) and its essential combat support, combat service support and Defence enablers at Gallipoli Barracks at Enoggera. A range of new, refurbished and upgraded facilities are required to support the ELF Stage 2 initiative at Gallipoli Barracks.

Defence proposes to demolish four (4) buildings that have been identified as possessing heritage values. The affected buildings are Building C48 and Buildings C49, C55, C58 (a warehouse complex). The removal of these buildings is required to enable new facilities to be built on the site including a Battalion Headquarters and guard house, a Battalion Training Facility, Manoeuvre Company facilities, Manoeuvre Support Company facilities and Administration Company facilities including a Q Store and unit workshop and vehicle compound. See attached documents: 01_Site Location and Existing Warehouse Layout; 02_Future 8/9 RAR Layout.

Defence's heritage consultant has assessed that the 4 buildings may satisfy criterion for Commonwealth heritage listing (ERM, 2011). To mitigate the loss of potential Commonwealth heritage values Defence commissioned its heritage consultant to undertake archival recording in accordance with NSW guidelines. Furthermore, Defence has commissioned the same heritage consultant to prepare a Heritage Interpretation Strategy that describes how the heritage values of the buildings will be presented into the future.

It should be noted that Defence also plans to relocate Building O64 (former 1914 Guard House) which may also satisfy criterion for Commonwealth listing, from its present location on the western side of Chauvel Drive adjacent to the 2/14th compound to beside the proposed new Parade Ground. Because Building O64 has previously been relocated and appreciation of its heritage values will increase as a result of relocating it to the new Parade Ground, this element is not included within the scope of issues for this referral.

2.2 Alternatives to taking the proposed action

Defence has considered a range of alternatives to the proposed action and is of the view that there is no prudent or feasible alternative to demolishing the buildings. Defence considered whether the buildings could be retained in situ and continue their warehousing function or be adaptively reused in new developments on site or elsewhere. It was determined that these buildings are unsuitable due to size and layout constraints, functional limitations, overall site area constraints and building condition issues. It was considered that the retention of these buildings would ultimately have a high impact on Defence capability due to the functional and operational limitations they impose at the Barracks.

Defence's Statement of Evidence to the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works on the Enhanced Land Force Stage 2 Facilities – Gallipoli Barracks, Enoggera, Queensland and other Defence Bases and Training Areas in September 2009 discussed alternatives. The Options Considered section of the Statement of Evidence included the following statement: "*Defence has considered the viability of adaptively re-using or refurbishing facilities to reduce the need for new construction. In most cases, the option to re-use facilities is not cost effective because of age, structural degradation, functional inadequacy or inappropriate location of facilities.*"

Documentation about the Public Works Committee process for ELF Stage 2, including results of the public hearing process, is available from: <http://www.aph.gov.au/house/committee/pwc/ELF2>

2.3 Alternative locations, time frames or activities that form part of the referred action

N/a

2.4 Context, planning framework and state/local government requirements

The activity does not require any approvals from state or local governments. The proposed demolition activity will occur on Commonwealth land. The Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) is the primary legislation that applies to the activity.

2.5 Environmental impact assessments under Commonwealth, state or territory legislation

N/a

2.6 Public consultation (including with Indigenous stakeholders)

The Parliamentary Standing Committee on Public Works advertised and conducted open public hearings into the Enhanced Land Force Stage 2 Facilities Project at Gallipoli Barracks in September 2009. No interest in the heritage values of the buildings was raised through the public hearing process or by the Committee in its report to Parliament.

Defence's heritage consultants have identified and consulted relevant groups and individuals in order to understand the heritage context of the buildings. In addition, the Defence contractor managing the ELF 2 facilities project sent an open letter to Barracks personnel and residents of areas surrounding the Base inviting comments about the proposed demolition of the buildings. No comments were received.

The buildings proposed for demolition have no known association to Indigenous people.

2.7 A staged development or component of a larger project

The ELF Stage 2 initiative proposes to construct new, extended or refurbished facilities at a number of Defence sites and training areas and will increase the Defence force by around 3000 members. Twenty-three separate construction and upgrade packages will occur at Gallipoli Barracks, including the re-raising of the 8/9 RAR Battalion. To provide adequate facilities and enabling capabilities and to sustain increases in the delivery of training capability, career training and logistics support for the Battalion, the demolition of the existing buildings at the site (former 21 Construction Squadron area) and construction of new facilities is necessary. If the demolition of the warehouses and construction of new facilities is unable to occur, or is delayed, this will result in significant project delays and budget issues not only for the construction of the 8/9RAR area and Gallipoli Barracks but for the ELF Stage 2 project in general.

3 Description of environment & likely impacts

3.1 Matters of national environmental significance

3.1 (a) World Heritage Properties

Description

N/a

Nature and extent of likely impact

The proposed action is not located at or near any identified World Heritage Properties. There will be no impacts on the World Heritage values of any World Heritage property.

3.1 (b) National Heritage Places

Description

N/a

Nature and extent of likely impact

The proposed action is not located at or near any identified National World Heritage Properties. There will be no impacts on the National Heritage values of any National Heritage property.

3.1 (c) Wetlands of International Importance (declared Ramsar wetlands)

Description

Gallipoli Barracks is more than 15 km upstream of the Moreton Bay Ramsar site. Areas downstream of Gallipoli Barracks are heavily affected by the urban and industrial development of Brisbane City.

Nature and extent of likely impact

The demolition activity is not anticipated to result in the release of any pollutants, chemicals or fertilisers into waterways.

Taking into account the >15km separation distance to the Ramsar site, the scale of existing urban/industrial development within the sub-catchment and that any impacts to surface water quality would in the worst case be highly localised, and the implementation of measures to prevent pollutants entering waterways, it is highly unlikely that the proposed demolition of 4 buildings would impact on the ecological character of the Ramsar site. Following is an assessment of the proposed action against the relevant EPBC significant impact criteria:

Will the proposal result in	Response	Discussion
- areas of the wetland being destroyed or substantially modified.	Unlikely	The development site is >15km separation distance from Moreton Bay Ramsar site with extensive urban/industrial land uses downstream of Gallipoli Barracks. The project will not destroy or substantially modify the Ramsar site.
- a substantial and measurable change in the hydrological regime of the	Unlikely	The development site is >15km separation distance from Moreton Bay Ramsar site with extensive urban/industrial land uses downstream of Gallipoli

Will the proposal result in	Response	Discussion
wetland, for example, a substantial change to the volume, timing, duration and frequency of ground and surface water flows to and within the wetland		Barracks. Any impacts to surface water quality would in the worst case be highly localised. The proposed action will not result in a substantial or measurable change in the hydrological regime of the Ramsar site.
- the habitat or lifecycle of native species, including invertebrate fauna and fish species, dependant upon the wetland being seriously affected	Unlikely	The project will not seriously affect the habitat or lifecycle of native species, including invertebrate fauna and fish species, dependant upon the Ramsar site.
- a substantial and measurable change in the water quality of the wetland – for example, a substantial change in the level of salinity, pollutants, or nutrients in the wetland, or water temperature which may adversely impact on biodiversity, ecological integrity, social amenity or human health	Unlikely	The development site is >15km separation distance from Moreton Bay Ramsar site with extensive urban/industrial land uses downstream of Gallipoli Barracks. Any impacts to surface water quality would in the worst case be highly localised. The project will not substantially change the level of salinity, pollutants or nutrients entering the Ramsar site. The project will not result in a substantial or measurable change in the water quality of the Ramsar site.
- an invasive species that is harmful to the ecological character of the wetland being established (or an existing invasive species being spread) in the wetland	Unlikely	The project will not result in an invasive species that is harmful to the ecological character of the wetland being established (or an existing invasive species being spread) in the Ramsar site.

3.1 (d) Listed threatened species and ecological communities

Description

The proposed action will take place in a previously developed area which has no known natural habitat value for listed threatened species or ecological communities.

Nature and extent of likely impact

Given the highly modified nature of the site and the lack of suitable habitat, the presence of any listed threatened species or threatened ecological community is highly improbable.

3.1 (e) Listed migratory species

Description

The proposed action will take place in a previously developed area which has no known natural habitat value for listed migratory species.

Nature and extent of likely impact

Given the highly modified nature of the site and the lack of suitable habitat, the presence of any listed migratory species is highly improbable.

3.1 (f) Commonwealth marine area

(If the action is in the Commonwealth marine area, complete 3.2(c) instead. This section is for actions taken outside the Commonwealth marine area that may have impacts on that area.)

Description

Gallipoli Barracks is not located near a Commonwealth marine area.

Nature and extent of likely impact

Direct or indirect impacts on a Commonwealth marine area are unlikely to occur.

3.1 (g) Commonwealth land

(If the action is on Commonwealth land, complete 3.2(d) instead. This section is for actions taken outside Commonwealth land that may have impacts on that land.)

Description

The proposed action will take place on Commonwealth land. Refer to Section 3.2 (d).

Nature and extent of likely impact

Refer to Section 3.2 (d) for a description of the nature and extent of likely impacts.

3.1 (h) The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park

Description

Gallipoli Barracks is not near the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

Nature and extent of likely impact

Direct or indirect impacts on the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park are unlikely to occur.

3.2 Nuclear actions, actions taken by the Commonwealth (or Commonwealth agency), actions taken in a Commonwealth marine area, actions taken on Commonwealth land, or actions taken in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park

3.2 (a)	Is the proposed action a nuclear action?	✓	No
			Yes (provide details below)
If yes, nature & extent of likely impact on the whole environment			
3.2 (b)	Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth or a Commonwealth agency?		No
		✓	Yes (provide details below)
If yes, nature & extent of likely impact on the whole environment			

The action is to be undertaken by the Department of Defence, a Commonwealth agency.

Refer Section 3.2 (d) for description of nature and extent of potential impacts The proposed action will be taken by the Department of Defence.

3.2 (c)	Is the proposed action to be taken in a Commonwealth marine area?	✓	No
			Yes (provide details below)

If yes, nature & extent of likely impact on the whole environment (in addition to 3.1(h))

3.2 (d)	Is the proposed action to be taken on Commonwealth land?		No
		✓	Yes (provide details below)

If yes, nature & extent of likely impact on the whole environment (in addition to 3.1(i))

The proposed action will occur on Commonwealth land.

The proposed action will take place in a highly modified area of Gallipoli Barracks comprised mainly of pavements and buildings. Small areas of landscape plantings are present primarily to soften the visual impact of the industrial scale buildings. The site has no known natural habitat values.

The development of a Defence working area, including low-scale buildings and warehousing facilities is considered consistent with existing land-use in the area.

The implementation of construction industry best practice demolition procedures will ensure that the demolition activity is conducted to manage all potential environmental risks. Where possible, building materials such as steel, timber and brick will be collected for recycling.

The most important issue for the project surrounds the historic heritage values of the 4 buildings that are proposed to be demolished. The proposed action will result in the permanent physical loss of the 4 buildings from the site. Defence's heritage consultant has assessed that the 4 buildings may satisfy the criteria for inclusion in the Commonwealth Heritage List. No formal nomination for the buildings to be included on the CHL has been made at this time and the Australian Heritage Council and the Environment Minister have not considered whether Commonwealth heritage values are actually present. By submitting this referral Defence has adopted a responsible precautionary approach to this issue.

Defence and its heritage consultant have identified a number of mitigation measures that seek to ensure that the heritage values of the buildings are captured prior to the demolition activity and made available for presentation to future generations. These measures are summarised in section 4 of this referral document and discussed in detail in the Heritage Interpretation Strategy (ERM 2011) that has been submitted with this referral (refer to attached technical report 03).

3.2 (e)	Is the proposed action to be taken in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?	✓	No
			Yes (provide details below)

If yes, nature & extent of likely impact on the whole environment (in addition to 3.1(j))

3.3 Other important features of the environment

3.3 (a) Flora and fauna

No important flora or fauna are known to be present at the site.

3.3 (b) Hydrology, including water flows

The site drains into the Base stormwater drainage system and then off-site.

3.3 (c) Soil and Vegetation characteristics

Not relevant to the proposed action.

3.3 (d) Outstanding natural features

The site does not contain any outstanding natural features.

3.3 (e) Remnant native vegetation

The site has been extensively modified by past activities and does not contain remnant native vegetation.

3.3 (f) Gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area)

The site provides no constraints in terms of steep slopes.

3.3 (g) Current state of the environment

The proposed action will take place in highly modified area comprised mainly of pavements and buildings. Small areas of landscape plantings are present primarily to soften the visual impact of the industrial scale buildings. The site has no known natural habitat values.

3.3 (h) Commonwealth Heritage Places or other places recognised as having heritage values

Gallipoli Barracks contains 4 places listed on the Commonwealth Heritage List (CHL). They are:

1. Remount Complex (former)
2. Enoggera Magazine Complex (former)
3. School of Musketry (former)
4. Small Arms Magazine (former)

The proposed demolition activity will have highly localised impacts. The CHL places are sufficiently distanced from the area of the demolition activity and will not be affected. Neither the proposed 8/9 RAR construction, nor the broader ELF Stage 2 construction activities will affect the identified values of the 4 CHL listed places.

3.3 (i) Indigenous heritage values

No known Indigenous heritage sites will be affected by the proposed action. Given that the site has been extensively modified by past activities, the presence of Indigenous heritage values is highly unlikely.

3.3 (j) Other important or unique values of the environment

There are no other important or unique values of the environment affected by, or in close proximity to the proposed action.

3.3 (k) Tenure of the action area (eg freehold, leasehold)

The site is Commonwealth land. Acquired in 1908.

3.3 (l) Existing land/marine uses of area

Gallipoli Barracks is a working military base

3.3 (m) Any proposed land/marine uses of area

The use of the land for military purposes is expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

4 Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

Defence and its heritage consultant have identified a number of mitigation measures that seek to ensure that the heritage values of the buildings are captured prior to the demolition activity and made available for presentation to future generations. These measures are summarised below and discussed in more detail in the Heritage Interpretation Strategy (ERM 2011) that is appended to this referral.

In summary, Defence has commissioned the archival recording of the 4 buildings in accordance with Burra Charter principles and guided by the New South Wales Heritage Office Guidelines "*Interpreting Heritage Places and Items: Guidelines*". These guidelines are recognised in the Defence Heritage Toolkit as best practice guidelines. The archival recording was completed in March 2010.

Archival recording of the assets will ensure an archival quality recording of the warehouses, including a report detailing their appearance, construction and history, and supplemented with photographic images illustrating the buildings as they existed prior to their demolition. This will allow future generations to understand the history of the site, the warehouse area and go some way towards preserving some of the heritage values of the warehouses. A copy of the archival recording is supplied with this referral document.

Defence also commissioned a Heritage Interpretation Strategy. A copy of the Heritage Interpretation Strategy is also supplied with this referral document. The Strategy commits Defence to ensure that the heritage values of the buildings will be presented for the benefit of future generations. This will be achieved through incorporating heritage interpretation panels that contain images and text about the buildings into new developments at the site. In addition, Defence will commission paintings of the warehouses that will also be displayed. Furthermore, the Strategy identifies that materials such as hardwood posts will be salvaged from the buildings and reused as a feature in the new development in order to maintain a connection to the past use of the site.

Defence considers that the implementation of these measures will allow a surviving record of these warehouses to be maintained and will allow future generations to understand the history of the site, the role they played historically in Defence activities, the Defence units who used the warehouse area and go some way towards preserving some of the heritage values of the buildings.

5 Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

5.1 Do you THINK your proposed action is a controlled action?

- ☒ No, complete section 5.2
- ☐ Yes, complete section 5.3

5.2 Proposed action IS NOT a controlled action.

The 4 warehouse buildings at Gallipoli Barracks proposed for demolition are not currently included on the Commonwealth Heritage List so the presence of Commonwealth heritage values has not been formally assessed by the Australian Heritage Council or the Environment Minister in accordance with procedures prescribed in the EPBC Act .

Defence has considered alternatives to demolishing the buildings but no prudent or feasible alternatives are evident.

In considering the heritage values thought to be present it is possible to preserve those heritage values by means other than retaining the buildings in situ. Defence proposes to implement a range of mitigation measures that will preserve the heritage values of the warehouses through provision of a permanent photographic record of the warehouses which will be available for reference by current and future generations; and also through a program of interpretation which will present information about the warehouses and their significance for the understanding and appreciation of current and future generations.

6 Environmental record of the responsible party

	Yes	No
<p>6.1 Does the party taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management?</p> <p>Provide details Defence has a strong commitment to environmental management of all its activities to minimise environmental impacts. Defence has a national Environmental Management System (EMS) which provides a framework to ensure compliance with relevant environmental legislation, policies and requirements. Through implementing an EMS approach, the risk of Defence activities resulting in significant impacts on the environment (including heritage) are greatly reduced.</p>	✓	
<p>6.2 Has either (a) the party proposing to take the action, or (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action, the person making the application - ever been subject to any proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources?</p>		✗
<p>6.3 If the party taking the action is a corporation, will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework?</p> <p>If yes, provide details of environmental policy and planning framework The Defence Environmental Policy identifies the following environmental vision: <i>"Defence will be a leader in sustainable environmental management to support the ADF's capability to defend Australia and its national interests."</i> The Defence Environmental Strategic Plan has recently been updated. The new plan, covering the period 2010 to 2014, is available from Defence's environmental website at (www.defence.gov.au/environment).</p>	✓	
<p>6.4 Has the party taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?</p> <p>Provide name of proposal and EPBC reference number (if known) Defence has referred numerous proposals for consideration under the EPBC Act including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2011/5896 Defence training facilities, Greenbank Training Area, QLD - 2010/5747 Flying operations of the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter - 2010/5316 Expansion of Cultana Training Area, SA - 2008/4410 Australian Super Hornet flying operations at RAAF Base Amberley, QLD - 2007/3567 Sale of surplus land at Ingleburn, NSW - 2008/4251 Removal of Bellman Hangars due to structural deterioration, Point Cook, VIC - 2007/3756 Transfer of Defence land at Majura, ACT 	✓	

7 Information sources and attachments

(For the information provided above)

7.1 References

AECOM 2009 Gallipoli Barracks Enoggera: Initial Environmental Review. Report to the Department of Defence.

BVN and Conrad Gargett Architects 2010 ELF Stage 2 Phase 2B Enoggera Facilities: Heritage Buildings. Letter supplied to ERM by John Holland Group.

ERM 2010 Gallipoli Barracks Enoggera Heritage Impact Assessment. Report to the Department of Defence.

ERM 2010 21 Construction Squadron Area: Archival Recording

ERM 2011 ELF Stage 2B 8/9 RAR Site Warehouses, Gallipoli Barracks: Interpretation Plan. Report to John Holland Group and Department of Defence.

Parliament of Australia Joint Committee: Inquiry into Enhanced Land Force State 2 Facilities. <http://www.aph.gov.au/house/committee/pwc/ELF2/subs.htm> - See section 1 Department of Defence. * NB: This is a public document.

John Holland Group and Joint Design Group plans for redevelopment. Supplied to ERM.

7.2 Reliability and date of information

Source of information:

Online searches of the Commonwealth Heritage List and National Heritage List, March 29, 2011.

Observations from site visits and information from historical research including site plans held at National Archives of Australia, conducted by ERM throughout 2010 and through to March 2010. Reliability of information from historical research ground-truthed during site visits and discussions with ELF 2B personnel.


7.3 Attachments

		✓ attached	Title of attachment(s)
You must attach	figures, maps or aerial photographs showing the project locality (section 1)	✓	01_Site Location and Existing Warehouse Layout 02_Future 8/9RAR Layout
	figures, maps or aerial photographs showing the location of the project in respect to any matters of national environmental significance or important features of the environments (section 3)		
If relevant, attach	copies of any state or local government approvals and consent conditions (section 2.5)		
	copies of any completed assessments to meet state or local government approvals and outcomes of public consultations, if available (section 2.6)		
	copies of any flora and fauna investigations and surveys (section 3)		
	technical reports relevant to the assessment of impacts on protected matters that support the arguments and conclusions in the referral (section 3 and 4)	✓	03_Heritage Interpretation Strategy
	report(s) on any public consultations undertaken, including with Indigenous stakeholders (section 3)		


8 Contacts, signatures and declarations

Project title: Demolition of four buildings at Gallipoli Barracks,
Enoggera, Queensland

8.1 Person proposing to take action

Name Craig Patterson
Title Assistant Director South Queensland
Infrastructure Asset Development Branch
Organisation Department of Defence
ACN / ABN (if applicable) N/A
Postal address BP3-1-C034
PO Box 7925
Canberra ACT 2600
Telephone (02) 6266 8075
Email craig.patterson4@defence.gov.au
Declaration I declare that the information contained in this form is, to my knowledge, true and not misleading. I agree to be the proponent for this action.
Signature  Date 8 JUL 2011

8.2 Person preparing the referral information (if different from 8.1)

Name Colin Trinder
Title Director Environmental Impact Management
Estate Policy and Environment Branch
Organisation Department of Defence
ACN / ABN (if applicable) N/A
Postal address BP3-2-B029
Department of Defence
Canberra ACT 2600
Telephone 02 6266 8067
Email colin.trinder@defence.gov.au
Declaration I declare that the information contained in this form is, to my knowledge, true and not misleading.
Signature  Date 8/7/11
