

# Wannamal Rd Composting Facility

Application Number: **02995**

Commencement Date:  
**14/07/2025**

Status: **Locked**

---

## 1. About the project

### 1.1 Project details

#### 1.1.1 Project title \*

Wannamal Rd Composting Facility

#### 1.1.2 Project industry type \*

Waste Management (non-sewerage)

#### 1.1.3 Project industry sub-type

—

#### 1.1.4 Estimated start date \*

01/09/2025

#### 1.1.4 Estimated end date \*

01/10/2025

## 1.2 Proposed Action details

### 1.2.1 Provide an overview of the proposed action, including all proposed activities. \*

Clearing of 26.2 Ha of currently native vegetation, fencing the cleared area with 1.8 m high ringlock fence and creating an asphalt topped, sloped (1:100) hardstand area from recycled building materials, including a 2 ha dam area to capture all rainwater and leachate. The facility will blend greenwaste with Food organics/Garden organics (FoGo) and add water, then place compost material in windrows, to be turned by a straddling windrow turner until the composting process is completed, The compost will then be spread on sand plain cereal production fields to improve soil health and aid in crop nutrition.

### 1.2.2 Is the project action part of a staged development or related to other actions or proposals in the region?

Yes

### 1.2.3 Is the proposed action the first stage of a staged development (or a larger project)?

Yes

### 1.2.5 Provide information about the staged development (or relevant larger project).

The initial development will be about 10 ha, progressively expanded to 24 ha of composting hard stand. The timing of the staged development, and the number of stages is currently unknown, however it is anticipated that within three years the project will have 24 ha of composting hardstand and be producing about 1 million tonnes of compost from 2 million tonnes for raw materials. The rate of expansion will be determined a) by the rate at which the waste collection industry learns of the project site and by the acceptance by farmers that the compost product spread on their cereal paddocks confers substantial soil improvement and thus productivity benefits

### 1.2.6 What Commonwealth or state legislation, planning frameworks or policy documents are relevant to the proposed action, and how are they relevant? \*

The project has been referred to the WA Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER for: a) a Works Approval, as composting producing more than 1000 tonnes per year is covered by the Environmental Protection Act Regulations (2008) and b) for a Clearing Native Vegetation Permit with both permits initiated through pre-application conferral meetings.

### 1.2.7 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken regarding the project area, including with Indigenous stakeholders. Attach any completed consultation documentations, if relevant. \*

The Shire of Gingin notified neighbouring ratepayers of the Development Application lodged, Generally few concerns were expressed by neighbours who mostly operate intensive piggeries in in the neighbourhood. A copy of the Shire of Gingin Development Approval is attached.

## 1.3.1 Identity: Referring party

### **Privacy Notice:**

Personal information means information or an opinion about an identified individual, or an individual who is reasonably identifiable.

By completing and submitting this form, you consent to the collection of all personal information contained in this form. If you are providing the personal information of other individuals in this form, please ensure you have their consent before doing so.

The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (the department) collects your personal information (as defined by the Privacy Act 1988) through this platform for the purposes of enabling the department to consider your submission and contact you in relation to your submission. If you fail to provide some or all of the personal information requested on this platform (name and email address), the department will be unable to contact you to seek further information (if required) and subsequently may impact the consideration given to your submission.

Personal information may be disclosed to other Australian government agencies, persons or organisations where necessary for the above purposes, provided the disclosure is consistent with relevant laws, in particular the Privacy Act 1988 (Privacy Act). Your personal information will be used and stored in accordance with the Australian Privacy Principles.

See our Privacy Policy to learn more about accessing or correcting personal information or making a complaint. Alternatively, email us at [privacy@awe.gov.au](mailto:privacy@awe.gov.au).

**Confirm that you have read and understand this Privacy Notice \***

### **1.3.1.1 Is Referring party an organisation or business? \***

Yes

Referring party organisation details

**ABN/ACN** 26547517746  
**Organisation name** BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST  
**Organisation address** 6112 WA

Referring party details

**Name** Peter Keating  
**Job title** Environmental Scientist  
**Phone** 08 9397 2446  
**Email** bioscience@biosciencewa.com  
**Address** 488 Nicholson Rd FORRESTDALE WA 6112

## 1.3.2 Identity: Person proposing to take the action

### 1.3.2.1 Are the Person proposing to take the action details the same as the Referring party details? \*

Yes

Person proposing to take the action organisation details

**ABN/ACN** 26547517746

**Organisation name** BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST

**Organisation address** 6112 WA

Person proposing to take the action details

**Name** Peter Keating

**Job title** Environmental Scientist

**Phone** 08 9397 2446

**Email** bioscience@biosciencewa.com

**Address** 488 Nicholson Rd FORRESTDALE WA 6112

**1.3.2.14 Are you proposing the action as part of a Joint Venture? \***

No

**1.3.2.15 Are you proposing the action as part of a Trust? \***

No

**1.3.2.17 Describe the Person proposing the action's history of responsible environmental management including details of any proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against the Person proposing to take the action. \***

Dr Keating, is an environmental scientist with over 30 years of experience in the management of environmental industries, during which time there have been no proceedings against him, not his companies, nor his clients under Commonwealth, State or Territory laws.

**1.3.2.18 If the person proposing to take the action is a corporation, provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework**

The company is relatively new, with the 4 owners and directors all experienced in various aspects of waste management and recycling,

### 1.3.3 Identity: Proposed designated proponent

**1.3.3.1 Are the Proposed designated proponent details the same as the Person proposing to take the action? \***

Yes

Proposed designated proponent organisation details

**ABN/ACN** 26547517746

**Organisation name** BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST

**Organisation address** 6112 WA

Proposed designated proponent details

**Name** Peter Keating

**Job title** Environmental Scientist

**Phone** 08 9397 2446

**Email** bioscience@biosciencewa.com

**Address** 488 Nicholson Rd FORRESTDALE WA 6112

## 1.3.4 Identity: Summary of allocation

---

### ✔ Confirmed Referring party's identity

The Referring party is the person preparing the information in this referral.

---

ABN/ACN	26547517746
Organisation name	BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST
Organisation address	6112 WA
Representative's name	Peter Keating
Representative's job title	Environmental Scientist
Phone	08 9397 2446
Email	bioscience@biosciencewa.com
Address	488 Nicholson Rd FORRESTDALE WA 6112

---

### ✔ Confirmed Person proposing to take the action's identity

The Person proposing to take the action is the individual, business, government agency or trustee that will be responsible for the proposed action.

---

Same as Referring party information.

---

### ✔ Confirmed Proposed designated proponent's identity

The Person proposing to take the action is the individual or organisation proposed to be responsible for meeting the requirements of the EPBC Act during the assessment process, if the Minister decides that this project is a controlled action.

---

Same as Person proposing to take the action information.

## 1.4 Payment details: Payment exemption and fee waiver

### 1.4.1 Do you qualify for an exemption from fees under EPBC Regulation 5.23 (1) (a)?

Yes

### 1.4.2 Select reason for exemption

Small Business

## 1.4 Payment details: Payment allocation

### 1.4.11 Who would you like to allocate as the entity responsible for payment?

Proposed designated proponent

## 2. Location

## 2.1 Project footprint



**Project Area:** 1906.53 Ha **Disturbance Footprint:** 26.21 Ha **Avoidance Area:** 1643.72 Ha **Retention Area:** 606.77 Ha

## 2.2 Footprint details

### 2.2.1 What is the address of the proposed action? \*

Lot7779 Wannamal Road West Cullalla

### 2.2.2 Where is the primary jurisdiction of the proposed action? \*

Western Australia

### 2.2.3 Is there a secondary jurisdiction for this proposed action? \*

No

### 2.2.5 What is the tenure of the action area relevant to the project area? \*

Freehold Title owned by Visan Holdings Pty Ltd

Title deed attached

Signed Lease agreement

## 3. Existing environment

## 3.1 Physical description

### 3.1.1 Describe the current condition of the project area's environment.

The land is zoned rural, and was formerly cleared (in the 1980's) for agricultural use. It was purchased by the current owners with the intention to grow an olive plantation, but this plan was abandoned, thus it has subsequently regenerated (albeit with reduced flora biodiversity by >50% compared to Gibson et.al. 1994) towards an open banksia woodland. The Shire of Gingin have granted Development Approval to use the land as a composting facility. The fauna survey undertaken described a relatively depauperate fauna, grey kangaroos being the only mammal encountered.

2. The site is approximately 22 km north of the Gingin townsite.

3. No changes of zoning from rural are proposed.

4. Adjoining land is also zoned rural except to the west where it is adjacent to the Boonanarring Nature Reserve. The nearest commercial activities are intensive piggeries (3) to the north west, east and south east.

5 The existing roadway Wannamal Rd West is classified by Main Roads as a RAV 2-4 with a formal assessment that it is suitable for Restricted Access Vehicles. A crossover access from Wannamal Rd West to the site forms part of the Gingin approval. (Transport Impact Assessment report forms part of this application)

### 3.1.2 Describe any existing or proposed uses for the project area.

1. The site is currently unused.

2. The site was formerly used by the current owners for low density goat grazing.. For the last 10 years it has not been used for this purpose but rather has been allowed to naturally regenerate.

3. The proposed use is for an asphalt-sealed composting facility and dam, initially using about 1/3 of the clearing area but progressively expanding to the entire 26 Ha site, thereby able to transform Food organics/Garden organics (FoGo) into a useful broadacre soil improver, diverting the FoGo product from landfill.

### 3.1.3 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values that applies to the project area.

1. The project area is a low open woodland of *Banksia menziesii* *Banksia attenuata*, *Eucalyptus tottiana* over Myrtaceous shrubland. Using the Kieghery (Bush Forever) Condition rating scheme, the current condition is very good to excellent due the absence of invasive weeds, but overall low biodiversity (about 50%) of the flora diversity of 23 a or b of Gibson et al (1994), No DRF were found over 2 years of flora survey work. The site has been included as a Threatened Ecological Community.

2 Although the site is technically on the Dandaragan Plateau, the area has more recently been included as part of the Swan Coastal Plane floristically. The site is adjacent to the Boonanarring Nature Reserve,

3. No unique values were found in the area which floristically is similar to the entire 1660.81 ha property (albeit with some variations due the lower relief and wetland to the southern parts of the property.)

### **3.1.4 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.**

1 The site is relatively flat with and undulating relief ranging from 176 -178 mAHD.

2 The area to the east (50 m east from the proposed site boundary) is currently mapped by DBCA as partly a Resource Enhancement Wetland with a central (cleared) part mapped as a Multiple Use Wetland.

3 The overall 1660 Ha site rises to the west of the project site to 196 m AHD, The area at the south of the overall 1660 ha site also rises to a peak of 222 m AHD..There are no surface waters evident on the site, with maximum groundwater believe to be at about 150 m AHD.

## 3.2 Flora and fauna

### 3.2.1 Describe the flora and fauna within the affected area and attach any investigations of surveys if applicable.

1. A flora and vegetation survey was undertaken (consistent with EPA guidance methods (2016)) on the site in spring of 2020 and 2021 (5 visits) was consistent with findings of previous studies of the broader area (2015 and 2017/18) representing a disturbed Cullula Complex (Hedde et al 1980) albeit with a key species *Corymbia calophylla* being absent. Because of the limited regeneration of species since land clearing in 1981, resulting in about 50% of species being absent, it becomes impossible to use Bary-Curtis Similarity matrix with any certainty to Floristic Community Types as described for the Swan Coastal Plain by Gibson et al (1994) however the flora found were consistent with FCT21, 22 and 23, with the highest match to 23 c.

The complete flora survey report is attached.

2. The fauna is relatively depauperate. Observations made during the flora survey revealed very few scats and evidence of diggings or burrows. No native fauna was encountered during the many 100s of hours for flora and wetland field work. Dr Bundock of Bioscience who undertook wetland assessment work in 2015 identified 7 species of birds by their distinguishing calls. This finding is consistent with others studies done in the area. One grey kangaroo and two emus were subsequently found during field work subsequent to the completion of a Fauna survey in 2021.

The fauna survey is attached.

### 3.2.2 Describe the vegetation (including the status of native vegetation and soil) within the project area.

1. Following background studies of reports of flora in neighbouring areas, two years of intensive flora field work was undertaken in 2021 and 2022, using transects and relieves leading to 4 quadrats being selected as being typical of the 26 ha area. No declared rare flora was found (however) it was initially believed that one or possibly two may be present. Bioscience thus revised the site with a colleague, the esteemed botanist Malcolm Trudgen, who found that Bioscience was mistaken - they were not DRF. Thus Trudgen's report is appended to the attached Flora survey report, as is our request to DBCA's wetland program office to reconsider the wetland status of a cleared area where the owners had attempted to grow oats in 2014 (without success), do thereby demonstrate we had considered using the already cleared area, thus we had no alternative but to apply to clear land.

2. The vegetation currently present is most like FCT 23 c. What is distinctive relative to similar areas is the apparent absence of weedy species. With no weeds compared to a mean weed frequency of 3.6 per quadrat for the same FCT on the Swan Coastal Plain reported by Gibson et al (1994).

3. The soil of the site is mapped as Quarternary sands of the Dandaragan Plateau, belonging to the Cullula Association. This is called on the Swan Coastal Plain Bassendean Sands.

## 3.3 Heritage

### 3.3.1 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas or other places recognised as having heritage values that apply to the project area.

None known eastern edge of the Moore River Settlement

### 3.3.2 Describe any Indigenous heritage values that apply to the project area.

None known not listed on Indigenous Heritage Register

## 3.4 Hydrology

### 3.4.1 Describe the hydrology characteristics that apply to the project area and attach any hydrological investigations or surveys if applicable. \*

The site lies within the Gingin Groundwater Area (GGA) in the Red Gully Subarea associated with unconfined superficial formations (Mirrabooka Aquifer) overlying the Leederville Aquifer and the deeper Yaragadee Aquifer

## 4. Impacts and mitigation

## 4.1 Impact details

**Potential Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) relevant to your proposed action area.**

<b>EPBC Act section</b>	<b>Controlling provision</b>	<b>Impacted</b>	<b>Reviewed</b>
S12	World Heritage	No	Yes
S15B	National Heritage	No	Yes
S16	Ramsar Wetland	No	Yes
S18	Threatened Species and Ecological Communities	No	Yes
S20	Migratory Species	No	Yes
S21	Nuclear	No	Yes
S23	Commonwealth Marine Area	No	Yes
S24B	Great Barrier Reef	No	Yes
S24D	Water resource in relation to large coal mining development or coal seam gas	No	Yes
S26	Commonwealth Land	No	Yes
S27B	Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas	No	Yes
S28	Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency	No	Yes

### **4.1.1 World Heritage**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

#### **4.1.1.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

#### **4.1.1.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The world heritage area, being Moore River is more to the south

### **4.1.2 National Heritage**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

#### **4.1.2.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

#### **4.1.2.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The area to be cleared is inconsequential.

### **4.1.3 Ramsar Wetland**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.3.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.3.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

There are no Ramsar Wetland likely to be influenced by the activity

**4.1.4 Threatened Species and Ecological Communities**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

### Threatened species

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Species	Common name
Yes		<i>Andersonia gracilis</i>	Slender Andersonia
Yes		<i>Aphelocephala leucopsis</i>	Southern Whiteface
Yes		<i>Banksia mimica</i>	Summer Honeypot
Yes		<i>Caleana dixonii</i>	Sandplain Duck Orchid
Yes		<i>Calidris acuminata</i>	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper
Yes		<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	Curlew Sandpiper
Yes		<i>Chamelaucium lullfitzii</i>	Gingin Wax
Yes		<i>Conospermum densiflorum</i> subsp. <i>unicephalatum</i>	One-headed Smokebush
Yes		<i>Dasyurus geoffroii</i>	Chuditch, Western Quoll
Yes		<i>Diuris drummondii</i>	Tall Donkey Orchid
Yes		<i>Eleocharis keigheryi</i>	Keighery's Eleocharis
Yes		<i>Eucalyptus leprophloia</i>	Scaly Butt Mallee, Scaly-butt Mallee
Yes		<i>Grevillea curviloba</i> subsp. <i>incurva</i>	Narrow curved-leaf Grevillea
Yes		<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>	Malleefowl
Yes		<i>Macarthuria keigheryi</i>	Keighery's Macarthuria
Yes		<i>Macroderma gigas</i>	Ghost Bat
Yes		<i>Ptychosema pusillum</i>	Dwarf Pea
Yes		<i>Rostratula australis</i>	Australian Painted Snipe
Yes		<i>Thelymitra dedmaniarum</i>	Cinnamon Sun Orchid
Yes		<i>Thelymitra stellata</i>	Star Sun-orchid
Yes		<i>Zanda latirostris</i>	Carnaby's Black Cockatoo, Short-billed Black-cockatoo

## Ecological communities

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Ecological community
Yes		Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community

**4.1.4.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.4.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

Despite the clearing of (formerly cleared, but regenerated) TEC, there will be substantial revegetation of other areas, thus the total area of TEC will increase over the project.

At the suggestion of DWER, up to 3,000 banksia trees will be planted as tube stock in the already cleared area of about 125 ha to the east of the proposed clearing dote. A further offset will be provided by way of a conservation covenant over 600 ha.

## 4.1.5 Migratory Species

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

Direct impact	Indirect impact	Species	Common name
Yes		Actitis hypoleucos	Common Sandpiper
Yes		Apus pacificus	Fork-tailed Swift
Yes		Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper
Yes		Calidris ferruginea	Curlew Sandpiper
Yes		Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandpiper
Yes	Yes	Motacilla cinerea	Grey Wagtail
Yes		Pandion haliaetus	Osprey

**4.1.5.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.5.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

None of the migratory species have been seen, despite numerous field investigations by suitably qualified people.

**4.1.6 Nuclear**

**4.1.6.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on this protected matter? \***

No

**4.1.6.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

No isotopes nor nuclear materials are involved in the project

#### **4.1.7 Commonwealth Marine Area**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.7.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.7.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The area is not a marine environment, which at closest is over 100 Km away.

#### **4.1.8 Great Barrier Reef**

**4.1.8.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on this protected matter? \***

No

**4.1.8.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The great Barrier Reff is at closest many thousands of kilometers away

**4.1.9 Water resource in relation to large coal mining development or coal seam gas**

**4.1.9.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on this protected matter? \***

No

**4.1.9.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

The area does not contain any coal deposits, nor coal mines, which at closest are 500 km Away

**4.1.10 Commonwealth Land**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.10.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.10.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

There is no Commonwealth land near the site.

**4.1.11 Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas**

You have identified your proposed action will likely directly and/or indirectly impact the following protected matters.

A direct impact is a direct consequence of an action taken – for example, clearing of habitat for a threatened species or permanent shading on an ecological community as the result of installing solar panels.

An indirect impact is an 'indirect consequence' such as a downstream impact or a facilitated third-party action.

—

**4.1.11.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct and/or indirect impact on any of these protected matters? \***

No

**4.1.11.3 Briefly describe why your action is unlikely to have a direct and/or indirect impact.**

\*

There are no Commonwealth places overseas nearby.

**4.1.12 Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency**

#### 4.1.12.1 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth or a Commonwealth Agency? \*

No

## 4.2 Impact summary

### Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

You have indicated that the proposed action will likely have a significant impact on the following Matters of National Environmental Significance:

*None*

### Conclusion on the likelihood of unlikely significant impacts

You have indicated that the proposed action will unlikely have a significant impact on the following Matters of National Environmental Significance:

- World Heritage (S12)
- National Heritage (S15B)
- Ramsar Wetland (S16)
- Threatened Species and Ecological Communities (S18)
- Migratory Species (S20)
- Nuclear (S21)
- Commonwealth Marine Area (S23)
- Great Barrier Reef (S24B)
- Water resource in relation to large coal mining development or coal seam gas (S24D)
- Commonwealth Land (S26)
- Commonwealth Heritage Places Overseas (S27B)
- Commonwealth or Commonwealth Agency (S28)

## 4.3 Alternatives

### 4.3.1 Do you have any possible alternatives for your proposed action to be considered as part of your referral? \*

No

### 4.3.8 Describe why alternatives for your proposed action were not possible. \*

Alternatives considered would not be as accessible to Wannamal Rd Weste without extensive clearing of accessways, and as transport of waste in and compost out are critical factors in the success for the project, other vegetated sites within 7779 Wannamal Rd West were considered to have a more significant impact on the TEC which covers the vast majority of the property.

In leu of offsets for the clearing of 26.2 ha TEC without viable alternatives, substantial offsets are offered.

Revegetation: An area of previously cleared land has already commenced the regeneration process, but will be accelerated by the Planting of up to 3,000 tube stock of *Banksia attenuata* and *Banksia menziesii*.

An area of 600 Ha of the same property will be offered as a permanant offset by way of a conservation covenant placed on the land title located in the area adjacent to the Bonanarring Nature reserve,.

## 5. Lodgement

## 5.1 Attachments

### 2.2.5 Tenure of the action area relevant to the project area

	Type	Name	Date	Sensitivity	Confidence
#1.	Document	Certificate of Title.pdf Proof of ownership of land		No	High
#2.	Document	Signed-Lease.pdf Signed Lease Agreement			High

### 3.1.1 Current condition of the project area's environment

	Type	Name	Date	Sensitivity	Confidence
#1.	Document	Fauna Survey - Lot 7779 Wannamal Rd PK26082012.pdf Fauna Survey		No	High
#2.	Document	FINAL Flora Survey - Lot 7779 Wannamal.pdf Flora survey of the proposed clearing area		No	High
#3.	Document	SoG DA Approval Plans.pdf Local Government Approval			High

### 3.1.3 Natural features, important or unique values that applies to the project area

	Type	Name	Date	Sensitivity	Confidence
#1.	Document	Fire Management and Emergency Plan.pdf Prevention of Bushfire impact			High

### 4.1.4.2 (Threatened Species and Ecological Communities) Why your action has a direct and/or indirect impact on the identified protected matters

	Type	Name	Date	Sensitivity	Confidence
#1.	Document	SJ Clearing Area Data.zip Shapefile of clearing area			High
#2.	Document	SJ Offset Data.zip Offset area location shapefile			High

### 4.3.8 Why alternatives for your proposed action were not possible

	Type	Name	Date	Sensitivity	Confidence
#1.	Document	All ZIPPED.zip All Zipped shapefiles		No	Medium
#2.	Document	LETTER OF AUTHORISATION - CLEARING.pdf authority to apply by owners of the land			High



## 5.2 Declarations

---

## ✔ Completed Referring party's declaration

The Referring party is the person preparing the information in this referral.

---

ABN/ACN	26547517746
Organisation name	BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST
Organisation address	6112 WA
Representative's name	Peter Keating
Representative's job title	Environmental Scientist
Phone	08 9397 2446
Email	bioscience@biosciencewa.com
Address	488 Nicholson Rd FORRESTDALE WA 6112

Check this box to indicate you have read the referral form. \*

I would like to receive notifications and track the referral progress through the EPBC portal. \*

By checking this box, I, **Peter Keating of BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST**, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. \*

I would like to receive notifications and track the referral progress through the EPBC portal. \*

---

## ✔ Completed Person proposing to take the action's declaration

The Person proposing to take the action is the individual, business, government agency or trustee that will be responsible for the proposed action.

---

Same as Referring party information.

Check this box to indicate you have read the referral form. \*

I would like to receive notifications and track the referral progress through the EPBC portal. \*

I, **Peter Keating of BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST**, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf or for the benefit of any other person or entity. \*

I, **Peter Keating of BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST**, the Person proposing the action, consent to the designation of **Peter Keating of BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST** as the Proposed designated proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral. \*

I would like to receive notifications and track the referral progress through the EPBC portal. \*

---

### **Completed Proposed designated proponent's declaration**

The Proposed designated proponent is the individual or organisation proposed to be responsible for meeting the requirements of the EPBC Act during the assessment process, if the Minister decides that this project is a controlled action.

---

Same as Person proposing to take the action information.

Check this box to indicate you have read the referral form. \*

I would like to receive notifications and track the referral progress through the EPBC portal. \*

I, **Peter Keating of BIOSCIENCE PTY LTD AS TRUSTEE FOR THE KEATING FAMILY TRUST**, the Proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of myself as the Proposed designated proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral. \*

I would like to receive notifications and track the referral progress through the EPBC portal. \*